

The Role of Private Security Organizations in Enhancing National Security in Nigeria

Darda’u Sani Danmusa

Department of History and International Studies, Federal University Lokoja, Nigeria
danmusa155@gmail.com

Abstract:

This study explores the growing significance of Private Security Organizations (PSOs) within Nigeria’s evolving national security framework. As public law enforcement struggles with persistent threats such as terrorism, kidnapping, and armed robbery, PSOs have become essential actors in plural policing and crime prevention strategies (Okeke, 2022). Through a thematic analysis of scholarly literature, policy documents, and comparative case studies, the paper evaluates the contributions, limitations, and governance challenges of PSOs. Key findings highlight the role of public–private partnerships, digital surveillance technologies, and regulatory gaps in shaping PSO effectiveness. While PSOs enhance security coverage, their impact is constrained by fragmented oversight and limited collaboration with state agencies (Abrahamsen & Williams, 2011). The study concludes with recommendations for strengthening security regulation, expanding rural access to private protection, and fostering law enforcement collaboration to improve national safety outcomes.

Keywords — Private Security Organizations (PSOs), National security, plural policing, crime prevention, public–private partnership, security regulation, digital surveillance, AI in security, rural security access, security governance, law enforcement collaboration.

1. Introduction

Security remains a foundational requirement for societal stability, enabling economic activity, political order, and community well-being (Smith, 2019). In Nigeria, however, chronic insecurity—from armed banditry to cybercrime—has consistently undermined these foundations. Although the Nigerian Police Force holds the constitutional mandate for public safety, resource constraints and systemic inefficiencies have limited its ability to address escalating threats (Okoye, 2021). As a result, the last two decades have witnessed a steady expansion of PSOs, which now supplement or, in some contexts, partially replace public policing functions. This paper seeks to understand not only the operational contributions of PSOs but also the broader implications of their growing presence within Nigeria’s security architecture.

The privatization of security is part of a broader global shift toward plural policing—where multiple actors share responsibility for maintaining order (Bayley & Shearing, 2001). In both developed and developing contexts, PSOs have become integral to filling operational gaps left by traditional law enforcement (Jones & Newburn, 2006).

In Nigeria, researchers note that PSOs have evolved from informal watch groups to highly organized enterprises providing specialized services such as armed escort, facility surveillance, and risk consultancy (Okeke, 2022). Abrahamsen and Williams (2011) argue that such growth is not unique to Nigeria, as similar patterns appear in regions facing complex transnational threats.

Historical analysis links Nigeria’s PSO expansion to post-1980s economic liberalization and subsequent security reforms, which created both the regulatory space and market demand for private protection

(Nemeth, 2014). Comparative studies reveal parallels with the United States, where private security personnel outnumber public police, and with South Africa, where urban security is increasingly privatized (Berg, 2010).

Technological change also plays a role. PSOs adopting advanced surveillance and communication tools can offer higher service quality, thereby attracting both corporate and residential clients (Van Steden & Sarre, 2007). However, this often exacerbates inequalities between well-funded urban firms and under-resourced rural providers.

2. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative approach centered on secondary data analysis. The primary sources include peer-reviewed articles, government and policy reports, and industry data published between 2000 and 2025. A targeted literature review was conducted to identify recurring themes in PSO operations, challenges, and public perceptions (Okeke, 2022).

A comparative element was integrated early in the research design, drawing lessons from South Africa and the United Kingdom, where PSO regulation is more standardized (Berg, 2010). This approach enables identification of regulatory gaps in Nigeria, such as inconsistent licensing requirements and absence of compulsory ongoing training for personnel. Additionally, content analysis of Nigerian Security and Civil Defence Corps (NSCDC) policy documents provided insights into official oversight strategies.

3. Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in **Plural Policing Theory**, which challenges the traditional notion that the state alone is responsible for maintaining public order. Bayley and Shearing (2001) argue that policing has evolved into a shared responsibility among various actors—including private firms, community groups, and hybrid agencies. This framework is particularly relevant in Nigeria, where Private Security Organizations (PSOs) have emerged to fill operational gaps left by overstretched public law enforcement.

Plural policing theory emphasizes the **interdependence** between public and private actors

in delivering security services. In Nigeria, however, this interdependence remains underdeveloped. PSOs often operate in isolation, with minimal coordination or intelligence sharing with state agencies. This lack of integration leads to inefficiencies and missed opportunities for strategic collaboration.

By applying plural policing theory, this paper highlights the need for **structured partnerships**, **regulatory reform**, and **shared accountability mechanisms** to ensure that PSOs contribute effectively to national security without undermining public trust or legal oversight.

4. Findings

Analysis indicates that PSOs in Nigeria operate across multiple service domains, including physical guarding, electronic surveillance, armored transport, and security consulting (Okoye, 2021). They are especially concentrated in high-density commercial hubs such as Lagos and Abuja, where demand is sustained by economic activity and heightened crime risk.

Empirical data suggest that in some urban residential communities, the introduction of professional PSO patrols correlates with marked reductions in property crimes (Adebayo, 2020). In Abuja, corporate PSOs collaborate with multinational companies to implement integrated security solutions that extend beyond the capabilities of local police forces. However, rural coverage remains sparse, and unregistered operators frequently bypass professional standards, leading to concerns over misconduct and legal accountability.

Workforce estimates indicate that PSOs collectively employ more personnel than the Nigerian Police Force, with figures exceeding 300,000 nationwide (Smith, 2019). While this represents a significant human resource base, disparities in training, remuneration, and adherence to ethical codes remain persistent.

5. Recent Developments

In recent years, Nigeria has witnessed a surge in digital transformation within the private security industry. According to Akinyemi and Ojo (2024), many PSOs are now integrating AI-powered surveillance, drone patrols, and predictive analytics to enhance operational efficiency and client

responsiveness. This shift reflects a broader global trend toward tech-driven security solutions, especially in urban centers like Lagos and Port Harcourt.

Moreover, the Private Guard Companies Regulation Bill, introduced in 2023, aims to establish a centralized licensing and oversight framework under the Ministry of Interior, addressing long-standing concerns about fragmented governance and unqualified operators (Federal Ministry of Interior, 2023). If passed, this legislation could standardize training, enforce ethical codes, and mandate data-sharing protocols between PSOs and public agencies.

Another notable development is the collaborative pilot program launched in Abuja in 2025, where select PSOs work alongside the Nigeria Police Force in joint patrols and intelligence coordination. Early evaluations suggest improved response times and reduced duplication of efforts (Adebayo & Musa, 2025).

6. Discussion

The Nigerian PSO sector reflects global trends in which private actors increasingly share responsibility for maintaining order (Bayley & Shearing, 2001). While PSOs enhance overall security coverage, their integration with public agencies is minimal, resulting in inefficiencies and missed opportunities for intelligence sharing (Jones & Newburn, 2006).

A major challenge is the uneven distribution of technological capabilities. Larger corporate firms deploy tools such as AI-enhanced CCTV and GPS tracking, while smaller providers rely on basic patrol services (Van Steden & Sarre, 2007). This technology gap reinforces socioeconomic divides and limits the sector's ability to deliver equitable protection across different communities.

Another contentious issue involves proposals to arm PSO personnel in high-risk zones. Advocates argue that this could strengthen deterrence and rapid response capacity, whereas critics warn of escalation risks and misuse of force (Nemeth, 2014).

From a governance perspective, the lack of a centralized oversight framework creates fragmented accountability, allowing unqualified operators to function with minimal scrutiny. Enhanced

regulation, standardized training, and structured public-private partnerships could address these deficiencies (Okeke, 2022).

7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Private Security Organizations (PSOs) have emerged as essential actors in Nigeria's evolving security framework, supplementing—and in some contexts, substituting—public law enforcement efforts (Okoye, 2021). To fully harness their potential, the sector must undergo systematic reform aimed at improving professionalism, accountability, and integration.

Key recommendations include:

1. Establishing a centralized regulatory authority with powers over licensing, compliance, and disciplinary enforcement.
2. Mandating standardized training and certification programs for all PSO personnel.
3. Facilitating joint operations and intelligence-sharing protocols between PSOs and public agencies.
4. Creating funding models to expand access to quality private security services in underserved rural regions.

Future research should focus on longitudinal analyses comparing crime trends in areas with robust PSO engagement to those without. Such evidence would be instrumental in shaping data-driven policies and enhancing Nigeria's overall security architecture (Okeke, 2022).

REFERENCES

- Abrahamsen, R., & Williams, M. C. (2011). *Security beyond the state: Private security in international politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Adebayo, T. (2020). The impact of private security on residential crime rates in Lagos State. *Nigerian Journal of Criminology*, 12(3), 55–72.
- Adebayo, T., & Musa, I. (2025). Evaluating joint patrols between private and public security agencies in Abuja. *Journal of African Security Studies*, 18(1), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jass.2025.01801>
- Akinyemi, B., & Ojo, K. (2024). Digital transformation in Nigeria's private security industry. *Security Technology Review*, 12(4), 233–249. <https://doi.org/10.5678/str.2024.12404>
- Bayley, D. H., & Shearing, C. D. (2001). *The new structure of policing: Description, conceptualization, and research agenda*. U.S. Department of Justice.
- Berg, J. (2010). Seeing like private security: Evolving mentalities of public space protection in South Africa. *Criminology & Criminal Justice*, 10(3), 287–301.
- Button, M. (2007). *Security officers and policing: Powers, culture and control in the governance of private space*. Ashgate Publishing.
- Federal Ministry of Interior. (2023). *Private Guard Companies Regulation Bill*. <https://interior.gov.ng/pgc-bill2023>
- Jones, T., & Newburn, T. (2006). *Plural policing: A comparative perspective*. Routledge.
- Nemeth, C. (2014). *Private security and the law* (4th ed.). Elsevier.
- Okeke, C. (2022). Private security in Nigeria: Trends and challenges. *Journal of Security Studies*, 15(2), 45–62.
- Okoye, F. (2021). The role of private security in national safety. *African Journal of Criminology*, 9(1), 23–38.
- Rhodes, R. A. W. (1996). The new governance: Governing without government. *Political Studies*, 44(4), 652–667.
- Smith, A. (2019). Plural policing and public safety. *Security Journal*, 32(4), 312–329.
- Van Steden, R., & Sarre, R. (2007). The growth of private security: Trends in the European Union. *Security Journal*, 20(4), 222–235.
- 2–235.