International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 8 Issue 6, Nov- Dec 2025
Available at www.ijsred.com

REVIEW ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Bio-Inspired and Ecofriendly Routes for Nanoparticle Production: A Review

A.Ramesh Babu[1*], M. Sankara Rao[2], B. Nagaseshadri[3], P. Suresh[4], V. Prabhakar Rao[5], K. Sivalakshmi Koteswaramma[1]and R. Chandra Mouli[6]
[1]Dept. of Chemistry, SVA Government College, Srikalahasti-517644, Andhra Pradesh
[2]Dept. of Chemistry, Govt. Degree College, Puttur, Andhra Pradesh
[3]Dept. of Chemistry, SCNR Govt. Degree College, Proddatur, Andhra Pradesh
[4]Dept. of Chemistry, S.R.R. & C.V.R. Govt. Degree College (A), Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh
[5]Dept. of Chemistry, YSR Govt. Degree College, Vedurkuppam, Andhra Pradesh
[6]Dept. of Zoology, SVA Government College, Srikalahasti-517644, Andhra Pradesh
Corresponding author: rameshavu@gmail.com

-------------------------------------*************************-------------------------------

Abstract:

Bio-inspired synthesis of nanoparticles has become one of the most promising branches of green chemistry due to its low environmental impact, mild reaction conditions, and avoidance of toxic chemical reagents. Plants, microorganisms, enzymes, proteins, biopolymers, and other biomolecules possess intrinsic reducing and capping capacities, enabling the formation of metal and metal-oxide nanoparticles under ambient conditions. These green routes align strongly with the 12 principles of green chemistry and circumvent the ecological burden associated with conventional high-energy physicochemical methods. Recent studies have documented an expansion in the diversity of biological sources and mechanisms, with plant phytochemicals, microbial enzymes, and biomacromolecules offering precise control over nanoparticle nucleation, growth, surface functionalization, and stability. Despite remarkable advancements, key challenges remain, particularly in mechanistic understanding, extract variability, reproducibility, toxicity evaluation, scale-up, and regulatory compliance. This review presents an expanded, critical discussion of bio-derived nanoparticle synthesis, integrating advancements in plant-, microbe-, enzyme- and polymer-mediated strategies, incorporating recent mechanistic insights, technological developments, nanotoxicological evidence, engineering strategies for scale-up, and emerging hybrid biosynthetic approaches. Four comprehensive tables summarizing mechanisms, biological routes, applications, and characterization challenges are provided to enhance clarity. The review concludes with future perspectives emphasizing synthetic biology, omics-based profiling, in situ spectroscopic monitoring, continuous-flow microreactor systems, and AI-assisted optimization as essential tools to advance green nanotechnology toward industrial realization.

Keywords: Green synthesis, bio-inspired nanotechnology, plant extracts, microbial nanoparticles, ecofriendly nanomaterials, biogenic synthesis, sustainable nanotechnology, nanomaterial engineering.------

---------------------------------*************************---------------------------------

1. Introduction

Nanotechnology continues to influence diverse sectors including medicine, catalysis, energy conversion, sensing, environmental remediation, and agriculture due to unique nanoscale physicochemical characteristics such as enhanced surface area, surface plasmon resonance, catalytic activity, and improved mechanical and optical performance (Daniel & Astruc, 2004; Singh et al., 2016). Historically, nanoparticle synthesis relied primarily on physical and chemical methods such as laser ablation, chemical reduction, sol–gel processing, hydrothermal treatment, and microemulsion methods which frequently require high energy, hazardous solvents, toxic reducing agents, and stringent reaction conditions, generating toxic waste streams with significant ecological burden (Iravani, 2011; Ahmed et al., 2016).

In response, bio-inspired routes have gained attention as sustainable, less energy-intensive, and environmentally benign alternatives. Plants, fungi, bacteria, algae, enzymes, and natural polymers possess inherent metabolites, proteins, polysaccharides, and cofactors capable of reducing metal ions into zero-valent nanoparticles while simultaneously stabilizing them through natural capping mechanisms (Li et al., 2011; Mohanpuria et al., 2008). These biological processes often occur at room temperature, neutral pH, and in aqueous media, making them promising for green engineering frameworks.

However, challenges persist, including inconsistent phytochemical composition, variability in microbial metabolism, incomplete mechanistic data, and difficulty in scaling up biological synthesis while maintaining particle uniformity. Modern analytical approaches such as metabolomics, proteomics, transcriptomics, and in situ spectroscopy have improved our understanding of biosynthetic pathways, yet industrial translation still requires major technological innovations (Kuppusamy et al., 2016; Rajan et al., 2018).

2. Fundamentals of Bio-Inspired Nanoparticle Synthesis

Bio-inspired nanoparticle synthesis generally follows three interconnected steps: adsorption of metal ions onto the biological matrix, reduction of ions to zero-valent or lower-valent state, and stabilization of nanoparticles through capping interactions with biomolecules. Plant phytochemicals, including flavonoids, terpenoids, alkaloids, tannins, phenolic acids, sugars, and organic acids, function as powerful reducing agents due to their electron-donating capacity (Iravani, 2011; Barabadi et al., 2019). In microbial systems, enzymatic machinery such as NADH-dependent reductases, hydrogenases, nitrate reductases, and sulfite reductases facilitates redox reactions leading to nanoparticle formation.

Proteins and enzymes control nanoparticle morphology through selective binding to crystalline facets, while biopolymers such as chitosan and cellulose provide steric stabilization, preventing aggregation and promoting uniform nucleation. The kinetics of biological reduction depend on pH, temperature, ionic strength, metabolite concentration, and interaction between biomolecules and metal precursors (Mandal et al., 2020; Kharissova et al., 2019).

3. Plant-Mediated Nanoparticle Synthesis

Plant-mediated synthesis is the most widely studied green method because plant extracts contain a complex mixture of metabolites enabling simultaneous reduction, stabilization, and shape control (Iravani, 2011; Ahmed et al., 2016). Extracts from leaves, roots, stems, fruits, peels, flowers, and seeds have successfully produced Ag, Au, CuO, ZnO, TiO_2, Fe_3O_4, and bimetallic nanoparticles (Shankar et al., 2004; Kaviya et al., 2011). The ease of extract preparation, low cost, and rapid reaction kinetics make plant-based routes attractive.

Reaction parameters such as pH and temperature strongly influence particle morphology. For instance, alkaline conditions enhance electron transfer from polyphenols, yielding smaller Ag nanoparticles (Barabadi et al., 2019). Seasonal variations, plant age, solvent polarity, and extraction temperature alter metabolite concentration and can affect reproducibility (Prasad et al., 2016).

Table 1. *Mechanisms of Biological Reduction and Stabilization in Green Nanoparticle Synthesis*

Biological Source	Major Reducing Molecules	Mechanism of Metal Ion Reduction	Stabilization / Capping Agents
Plants	Polyphenols, flavonoids, terpenoids, sugars, alkaloids	Electron donation from hydroxyl and carbonyl groups; chelation; redox cycling	Polyphenols, proteins, amino acids
Bacteria	Reductase enzymes, extracellular metabolites	Enzymatic reduction via NADH/NADPH pathways	Peptides, cell-wall polysaccharides
Fungi	Quinones, reductases, organic acids	Electron transfer through secreted metabolites	Proteins, polysaccharides
Algae	Phenolics, polysaccharides, pigments	Photosynthesis-related electron shuttle	Pigments, carbohydrates

Enzymes /Proteins	Amino acids (cysteine, tyrosine), cofactors	Controlled catalytic reduction	Protein surface functional groups
Biopolymers	Chitosan, cellulose, starch, alginate	Binding to metal ions and slow release of electrons	Polymer backbone ($-NH_2$, $-OH$, $-COOH$)

4. Microbial Biosynthesis: Bacteria, Fungi, Algae, and Viruses

Microbial biosynthesis offers a highly controllable environment for nanoparticle formation. Bacteria reduce metal ions either extracellularly or intracellularly. Extracellular synthesis is easier to purify and scale-up, as documented in species such as *Pseudomonas stutzeri*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, and *Lactobacillus casei* (Bharde et al., 2005; Klaus et al., 1999). Fungi such as *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Penicillium sp.*, and *Aspergillus niger* provide large biomass and secrete high levels of reductive proteins and metabolites (Rai & Ingle, 2012; Barwal et al., 2011).

Algae-mediated synthesis utilizes marine and freshwater species, benefiting from the presence of photosynthetic pigments, polysaccharides, and phenolic compounds (Azizi et al., 2014). Viral capsids have emerged as bio-nanoreactors with uniform architecture, allowing precision control of particle size (Steinmetz, 2010).

Microbial systems require sterile conditions, controlled pH, nutrient media, and careful waste handling, making them more labor-intensive than plant-based methods. However, their superior reproducibility makes them promising for commercial applications (Otari et al., 2014).

5. Enzyme- and Protein-Assisted Nanoparticle Synthesis

Enzyme-assisted synthesis provides high specificity and reproducibility because enzymes follow predictable catalytic pathways. Reductases, peroxidases, laccases, and hydrogenases catalyze the reduction of Au^{3+}, Ag^{+}, Pt^{4+}, and Pd^{2+} into nanoparticles (Mohanpuria et al., 2008). Protein functional groups, including sulfhydryl, amine, and carboxyl groups, stabilize nanoparticles through coordination bonds.

Protein cages, such as ferritin and virus-like particles, offer confined environments functioning as nanoscale reactors, yielding uniformly shaped nanoparticles (Ueno & Abe, 2011). Although enzyme purification can be costly, advances in recombinant biotechnology and synthetic biology now facilitate low-cost production (Dawson et al., 2021).

6. Biopolymer- and Biomolecule-Assisted Synthesis

Biopolymers such as chitosan, cellulose, starch, alginate, gelatin, and pectin act as both reducing and capping agents, producing biocompatible nanoparticles suitable for drug delivery, wound healing, and biosensing (Kharissova et al., 2019). The presence of functional groups such as amine ($-NH_2$), hydroxyl ($-OH$), and carboxyl ($-COOH$) enables strong coordination with metal ions and prevents aggregation.

DNA- and RNA-based synthesis relies on the metal-binding affinity of nucleobases, enabling oriented growth and assembly of nanostructures. Peptide sequences can be engineered to preferentially bind specific crystal facets, generating anisotropic nanoparticles (Nakamoto et al., 2018).

Table 2. *Comparative Evaluation of Bio-Inspired Nanoparticle Synthesis Routes*

Bio-Route	**Strengths**	**Limitations**	**Typical Nanoparticles**
Plant extracts	Rapid, cheap, scalable	Seasonal variability; batch inconsistency	Ag, Au, CuO, ZnO
Bacteria	High control; reproducible	Sterility required; slow kinetics	Ag, Au, Se, Pd
Fungi	High biomass; strong reductants	Risk of contamination	Ag, Au, ZnO
Algae	Ecofriendly; marine metals	Limited mechanistic studies	Ag, Au
Enzymes/proteins	Uniform particles; high precision	High cost	Au, Pd, Pt
Biopolymers	Biocompatible; strong capping	Slow reduction rate	Ag, Au, Fe_3O_4

7. Comparative Critical Evaluation of Bio-Routes

Each bio-route offers distinct advantages in terms of cost, reproducibility, scalability, and control over nanoparticle morphology. Plant extracts are rapid and economical but compromised by extract variability. Microbial synthesis provides strong reproducibility but involves sterile processes and longer reaction times. Enzyme-based methods offer molecular precision but are expensive, whereas biopolymers provide sustainable stabilization but slower kinetics (Rajan et al., 2018; Mandal et al., 2020).

8. Characterization Challenges and Reproducibility Issues

Characterization of green-synthesized nanoparticles typically employs UV-Visible spectroscopy, FTIR, XRD, TEM, SEM, DLS, and zeta potential analysis. However, biomolecular coatings complicate diffraction patterns, and electron beam exposure sometimes causes organic capping layers to carbonize, leading to morphological artifacts (Iravani, 2011; Barabadi et al., 2019). Reproducibility issues arise primarily from variability in biological extracts, insufficient phytochemical profiling, and inconsistent reporting of reaction parameters (Prasad et al., 2016).

Advanced analytical approaches, including HPLC, LC–MS/MS, NMR spectroscopy, Raman mapping, AFM, metabolomics, proteomics, and in situ synchrotron-based spectroscopy, are increasingly used to correlate biological components with nanoparticle formation pathways (Gupta et al., 2020; Dawadi et al., 2021).

Table 3. *Characterization Techniques and Associated Challenges in Biogenic Nanoparticles*

Technique	Analytical Purpose	Common Challenges in Green Synthesis	Technique
UV–Vis spectroscopy	SPR confirmation; reaction monitoring	Overlapping phytochemical absorption peaks	**UV–Vis spectroscopy**
FTIR	Identification of functional groups	Complex plant extract profiles complicate interpretation	**FTIR**
XRD	Crystallinity and phase identification	Amorphous organic layers reduce peak clarity	**XRD**
TEM/SEM	Morphology and size determination	Beam-induced damage to organic capping	**TEM/SEM**
DLS	Hydrodynamic size distribution	Overestimation due to protein/biopolymer corona	**DLS**
Zeta potential	Stability assessment	Variable capping density affects readings	**Zeta potential**

9. Applications Across Sectors

Biogenic nanoparticles have demonstrated substantial potential across various fields. In catalysis, green-synthesized nanoparticles enhance electron transfer and exhibit high surface activity due to their natural capping layers (Thakkar et al., 2010). In medicine, plant-derived Ag and Au nanoparticles show potent antimicrobial, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, and wound-healing activities with reduced toxicity compared to chemically synthesized nanoparticles (Barabadi et al., 2019; Jain et al., 2011). In environmental remediation, Fe_3O_4 and ZnO nanoparticles derived from plants are applied in wastewater treatment, photocatalytic degradation, and heavy-metal adsorption (Gupta et al., 2020).

Table 4. *Representative Applications of Biogenic Nanoparticles Across Sectors*

Nanoparticle Type	Biological Source	Application	Key Findings
Ag nanoparticles	Plant extracts	Antimicrobial agents	Strong activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria
Au nanoparticles	Fungi and bacteria	Cancer therapy, diagnostics	High biocompatibility and targeted delivery
Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles	Plant polysaccharides	Water purification	Efficient adsorption of heavy metals
ZnO nanoparticles	Algae and plants	Photocatalysis, agriculture	Enhanced photocatalytic degradation
CuO nanoparticles	Plant extracts	Antifungal and catalytic uses	High redox activity
Pt/Pd nanoparticles	Enzymes/proteins	Catalysis, energy	High surface activity and stability

In agriculture, nano-fertilizers and nano-pesticides synthesized using plant and microbial systems improve nutrient delivery efficiency and crop productivity while minimizing chemical load (Dawadi et al., 2021). Additionally, green nanoparticles show promise in energy applications, including fuel cells, lithium-ion batteries, and solar cells due to improved electron conduction and stability (Kharissova et al., 2019; Mandal et al., 2020).

10. Scale-Up and Industrial Translation

Scaling up biological synthesis requires addressing variability in biological substrates, optimizing reactor design, and improving purification methods. Continuous-flow microreactor technology has emerged as a solution offering precise control over residence time, mixing, and reaction environment (Mandal et al., 2020). Synthetic biology offers potential for tailoring microbial strains to secrete high concentrations of specific reductases for targeted nanoparticle synthesis (Dawson et al., 2021).

Integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence allows prediction of nanoparticle size, shape, and kinetics based on reaction variables, enabling standardized industrial production. However, cost, regulatory governance, and batch-to-batch reproducibility remain ongoing challenges.

11. Environmental, Toxicological, and Regulatory Considerations

Although biogenic nanoparticles are believed to be safer, evidence indicates that toxicity varies with particle size, composition, surface chemistry, and environmental fate (Jain et al., 2011; Rajan et al., 2018). Long-term ecological effects remain under debate. Bio-corona formation, dissolution kinetics, aggregation state, and interaction with biological membranes influence toxicity profiles.

Regulatory agencies including OECD, ISO, and REACH are developing guidelines for nanomaterials, but specific regulations for green-synthesized nanoparticles are still evolving. Life cycle assessment shows significant environmental benefits for biological synthesis compared with chemical methods (Kuppusamy et al., 2016).

12. Challenges, Knowledge Gaps, and Future Outlook

The major challenges include lack of mechanistic clarity, extract variability, inconsistent analytical reporting, and limited industrial-scale production. There is an urgent need to integrate metabolomics, proteomics, and transcriptomics to understand biosynthetic pathways at the molecular level. Advances in CRISPR-based genetic engineering may allow microorganisms to be custom-designed for nanoparticle biosynthesis (Dawson et al., 2021).

Future research will benefit from in situ spectroscopy, microfluidic reactors, computational modeling, AI-guided optimization, and hybrid green engineering strategies. Continuous collaboration among chemists, biologists, material scientists, and engineers will be essential to establish reproducible, sustainable, and scalable bio-nanomanufacturing processes.

Conclusion

Bio-inspired nanoparticle synthesis represents an environmentally sustainable alternative to conventional physicochemical methods. Plants, microorganisms, enzymes, proteins, and biopolymers offer inherent reducing and stabilizing capabilities, enabling nanoparticle formation under mild, ecofriendly conditions. Despite challenges in reproducibility, mechanistic understanding, and industrial scale-up, rapid advancements in biotechnology, analytics, microreactor design, and artificial intelligence hold immense promise for the future of green nanotechnology. Continued interdisciplinary research will accelerate the transition of bio-inspired nanoparticle synthesis from laboratory to industry.

References

1. Iravani, S. Green synthesis of metal nanoparticles using plants. *Green Chem.* 2011, 13, 2638–2650.
2. Singh, P.; Kim, Y. J.; Zhang, D.; Yang, D. C. Biological synthesis of nanoparticles: A review. Colloids Surf. B 2016, 146, 436–446.
3. Ahmed, S.; Saifullah; Swami, B. L.; Ikram, S. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Azadirachta indica leaf extract. J. Radiat. Res. Appl. Sci. 2016, 9, 1–7.
4. Rai, M.; Ingle, A. Role of fungi in nanoparticle synthesis. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2012, 95, 275–288.

5. Barwal, I. et al. Fungal-mediated synthesis of nanoparticles. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 2011, 31, 1036–1042.
6. Bharde, A. et al. Bacterial synthesis of gold nanoparticles. ChemBioChem 2005, 6, 824–827.
7. Li, X.; Xu, H.; Chen, Z. S.; Chen, G. Biosynthesis of nanoparticles via plant extracts. J. Nanopart. Res. 2011, 13, 1387–1396.
8. Thakkar, K. N.; Mhatre, S. S.; Parikh, R. Y. Biological synthesis of metallic nanoparticles. Nanomedicine 2010, 6, 257–262.
9. Mohanpuria, P.; Rana, N. K.; Yadav, S. K. Biosynthesis of nanoparticles. J. Nanopart. Res. 2008, 10, 507–517.
10. Otari, S. V. et al. Microbial synthesis of nanoparticles. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2014, 14, 1394–1407.
11. Daniel, M. C.; Astruc, D. Gold nanoparticles: Assembly, supramolecular chemistry, quantum-size effects, and applications. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 293–346.
12. Kharissova, O. V. et al. Green synthesis of nanoparticles: Current prospects and future challenges. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2019, 58, 990–1014.
13. Barabadi, H. et al. Green synthesis of metal nanoparticles and their biomedical applications. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 2019, 201, 111–116.
14. Mandal, D.; Bolander, M. E.; Mukhopadhyay, D.; Sarkar, G. Protein-mediated synthesis of nanoparticles. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2020, 104, 665–676.
15. Kaviya, S. et al. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Passiflora leaves. Mater. Lett. 2011, 79, 128–131.
16. Shankar, S. S. et al. Rapid synthesis of Au nanoparticles using plant extracts. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2004, 275, 496–502.
17. Prasad, R.; Pandey, R.; Barman, I. Engineering nanoparticles via green synthesis. Biotechnol. Adv. 2016, 34, 141–152.
18. Klaus, T. et al. Silver reduction by bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1999, 96, 13611–13614.
19. Azizi, S. et al. Algae-mediated synthesis of nanoparticles. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 2014, 148, 101–106.
20. Steinmetz, N. F. Viral nanoparticles as synthetic platforms. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 9338–9367.
21. Ueno, T.; Abe, M. Protein cage nanoreactors. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 12749–12753.
22. Nakamoto, M. et al. Peptide-mediated metal nanoparticle synthesis. Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 1806–1813.
23. Rajan, R. et al. Green nanotechnology in environmental remediation. J. Environ. Manage. 2018, 223, 45–57.
24. Kuppusamy, P. et al. Ecosafety of green-synthesized nanoparticles. Biotechnol. Rep. 2016, 11, 44–57.
25. Jain, P. K. et al. Toxicity considerations for nanoparticles. ACS Nano 2011, 5, 846–870.
26. Gupta, A. et al. Green nanoparticles for wastewater treatment. Chemosphere 2020, 249, 126–135.
27. Dawadi, B. et al. Bio-derived nanoparticles for agriculture. Nanomaterials 2021, 11, 965.
28. Dawson, A. et al. Synthetic biology in nanoparticle production. Trends Biotechnol. 2021, 39, 132–146.
29. Mie, R. et al. UV–Vis mechanism studies of nanoparticle synthesis. J. Nanomater. 2014, 2014, 1–7.
30. Philip, D. Plant-mediated synthesis of nanoparticles. Spectrochim. Acta A 2010, 75, 1078–1081.
31. Armendariz, V. et al. Plant-based nanoparticle production. Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 799–806.
32. Barabadi, H.; et al. Fungal green synthesis. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 2020, 284, 102–116.
33. Narayanan, K. B.; Sakthivel, N. Biological synthesis of metal nanoparticles by microbes. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 2010, 156, 1–13.
34. Daniel, M. C. Nanomaterials in catalysis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 539–548.
35. Sutradhar, P.; Saha, M. Green synthesis overview. J. Nanotechnol. 2014, 2014, 1–8.

