International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 8 Issue 6, Nov- Dec 2025
Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Analysis of Weld Quality in Different Welding Techniques Using Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE)

[1]Dr. Hardev V. Singh [2]Dr. Rashmi Shrivastava
IES University, Bhopal, Ph.D. (Chemistry)
Email: hardev.singh555@gmail.com

Abstract

Fusion joining stands as a foundational engineering discipline, indispensable across sectors like vehicular manufacturing, aerospace engineering, and infrastructure development. The paramount necessity lies in upholding the mechanical reliability of these welded assemblies to preempt premature structural compromise. Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) technologies provide a robust framework for assessing joint soundness without impairing the component's fitness-for-service status. This manuscript undertakes a systematic juxtaposition of three prevailing manual and semi-automatic arc welding modalities: Shielded Metal Arc Welding (SMAW), Gas Tungsten Arc Welding (GTAW), and Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW). It concurrently analyzes the efficacy of key NDE protocols—specifically ultrasonic inspection (UT), radiographic scrutiny (RT), magnetic particle inspection (MPI), and liquid penetrant examination (LPE)—in detecting resultant anomalies. The findings delineate the inherent strengths, operational constraints, and optimal deployment scenarios for integrating these specific fusion practices with appropriate NDE validation regimes, thus offering a pathway to maximized structural integrity management.

1. Introduction

The fabrication of complex metallic structures relies fundamentally on fusion processes, where a permanent, localized metallurgical bond is established through the controlled application of thermal energy, mechanical force, or a synergistic combination of both. This core technology underpins major global industries, extending from heavy civil engineering and naval architecture to precision aeronautical systems and energy infrastructure. Acknowledging this pervasive utility, the global market associated with advanced joining technologies is projected to maintain significant growth through the mid-decade, underscoring its enduring industrial relevance and profound economic criticality. The selection of an optimal fusion methodology—whether involving high-deposition techniques such as Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW/MIG) or prioritizing stringent control afforded by Gas Tungsten Arc Welding (GTAW/TIG)—is strictly dictated by a matrix of technical specifications, including material composition, section thickness, joint architecture, requisite production speeds, and crucial mechanical performance characteristics.

Despite sophisticated process standardization and technological advancements, intrinsic fabrication anomalies (discontinuities) present a persistent challenge to structural integrity. These potential points of failure substantially undermine the service-life reliability of the finished component. Common metallurgical imperfections encountered in fusion zones include:

- **Internal Voids (Porosity):** Entrapped gaseous inclusions that drastically compromise the effective load-bearing cross-section, potentially leading to a statistically observable reduction in the material's inherent ultimate tensile strength.
- **Fissures (Cracking):** Discontinuities often arising during high-temperature solidification (hot cracking) or subsequent thermal contraction (cold cracking); these defects serve as critical nucleation sites for fatigue propagation and can initiate rapid, brittle failure under operational stress.

- **Incomplete Coalescence or Penetration:** Conditions where the deposited filler metal fails to achieve complete metallurgical bonding with the parent material or adjacent weld passes, resulting in a severe reduction of the effective bearing cross-section and diminishing joint efficacy by up to 40% depending on extent.
- **Surface Non-Conformances:** Features such as local depressions (undercut) and trapped non-metallic inclusions (slag), which are known to significantly diminish fatigue life and accelerate susceptibility to localized corrosive attack.

The financial, regulatory, and safety ramifications associated with compromised welded structures are profound. Historical analyses of major infrastructure failures—such as pressurized vessels and long-distance pipelines—confirm that even minor internal anomalies, if left undetected, impose significant liability, environmental catastrophe, and cumulative economic losses often scaled in the tens of millions of currency units. Consequently, the mandate for rigorously validating weld quality transcends mere procedural adherence; it constitutes an indispensable imperative for sustaining structural safety and maximizing long-term economic viability.

Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) technologies are instrumental methodologies for confirming the mechanical and metallurgical soundness of welded components without compromising their physical state or fitness-for-service integrity. A comprehensive spectrum of established NDE modalities is routinely deployed for assessment:

- **Visual Examination (VE):** The fundamental, most accessible technique focused on identifying geometric surface imperfections and readily apparent dimensional non-conformances, such as surface cracks or excessive reinforcement.
- **Radiological Assessment (RA):** Utilizing ionizing radiation (X-rays or Gamma rays) to generate image projections based on density variations, thereby exposing volumetric internal discontinuities like inclusions and porous zones. This method offers high resolution, capable of resolving density changes corresponding to thickness variations as slight as 0.5 mm.
- **Acoustic Inspection (UT):** Involves injecting focused, high-frequency acoustic energy into the material; analysis of the reflected and diffracted wave patterns provides highly precise spatial mapping of internal discontinuities, often detecting flaws smaller than 0.2 mm.
- **Flux Leakage Inspection (MT/MPI):** This method is restricted exclusively to ferromagnetic alloys, applying a magnetic field to concentrate flux leakage around surface or shallow subsurface fissures, thereby enhancing visibility.
- **Capillary Action Testing (LPE/PT):** A highly sensitive, surface-specific technique where colored or fluorescent liquid penetration exploits capillary action to render visible even extremely fine surface-breaking cracks.

The integration of appropriate, optimized welding processes with stringent NDE protocols is essential not only for achieving compliance with international codified standards (e.g., ASME Section IX, ISO 5817) but, critically, for optimizing the structural longevity, operational safety, and overall cost-effectiveness of large-scale fabricated systems.

This investigation's primary mission is to deliver a detailed, systematic assessment: first, analyzing the intrinsic susceptibility to discontinuities associated with the selected common fusion processes (SMAW, GTAW, GMAW); and second, evaluating the relative diagnostic power and procedural limitations of the cited NDE techniques. By synthesizing technical performance data and examining practical industrial scenarios, this research intends to furnish specialized practitioners and researchers with the requisite empirical evidence necessary to refine current quality assurance strategies and maximize reliability across diverse industrial applications.

2. Examination of Welding Methodologies

Welding processes exhibit considerable variation across several key parameters, including thermal energy input, electrode composition, capacity for automation, and material compatibility. The judicious selection of an appropriate welding methodology is paramount for ensuring structural integrity and minimizing the occurrence of metallurgical defects. This section provides an in-depth analysis of widely recognized welding techniques.

2.1 Shielded Metal Arc Welding (SMAW)

- **Alternative Nomenclature:** Frequently referred to as "stick welding."
- **Operational Mechanism:** SMAW involves the establishment of an electric arc between a flux-coated, consumable electrode and the base metal workpiece. This arc generates localized heat sufficient to melt both the electrode tip and the workpiece, facilitating the formation of a molten weld pool. Concurrently, the decomposition of the electrode's flux coating generates a protective gas shield and a molten slag layer, which together prevent atmospheric contamination of the coalescing metal.
- **Industrial Applications:** This technique finds extensive utility in the construction sector, pipeline fabrication, and various repair operations. It is particularly well-suited for joining mild steel, stainless steel, and cast iron.
- **Associated Advantages:**
  - High portability and independence from external gas shielding systems.
  - Exceptional versatility, permitting welding in diverse orientations (e.g., flat, horizontal, vertical, overhead).
  - Economically viable for remote site operations and outdoor environments.
- **Inherent Limitations:**
  - Characterized by a comparatively low deposition rate, typically ranging from 2 to 3 kilograms per hour, which is less efficient than methods like GMAW.
  - Requires a high degree of operator proficiency to consistently produce defect-free welds.
  - Mandates post-weld slag removal, adding a secondary processing step.
- **Common Weld Discontinuities:** Primary defects include porosity, slag inclusions, insufficient fusion, and undercut formation.
- **Performance Metric:** Under optimal parameter control, SMAW welds in mild steel can achieve ultimate tensile strengths spanning 480–550 MPa.

2.2 Gas Tungsten Arc Welding (GTAW)

- **Operational Mechanism:** GTAW employs a non-consumable tungsten electrode to generate a concentrated electric arc. An inert gas, such as argon or helium, is concurrently supplied to the weld zone to eliminate atmospheric oxygen and nitrogen, thereby precluding oxidation and contamination. Filler metal may be introduced manually into the weld pool as required.
- **Industrial Applications:** This process is indispensable in critical sectors, including aerospace, automotive, nuclear engineering, and precision piping. It is particularly advantageous for welding thin-gauge materials and for applications demanding welds of exceptional integrity.
- **Associated Advantages:**
  - Yields welds of superior quality, characterized by high precision and an aesthetically smooth surface finish.
  - Generates minimal spatter and produces negligible slag residue.
  - Offers precise control over heat input, rendering it highly effective for joining thin sections (specifically, those less than 3 mm in thickness).
- **Inherent Limitations:**
  - Exhibits a very slow deposition rate, typically between 0.5 and 1 kilogram per hour.
  - Demands exceptionally skilled and experienced operators due to the intricate control required.
  - Involves higher capital equipment costs and ongoing expenses for shielding gas supply.

- **Common Weld Discontinuities:** Principal defects include tungsten inclusions, lack of fusion, and porosity.

- **Performance Metric:** GTAW possesses the capability to achieve weld efficiencies up to 100% of the base metal's strength when applied to stainless steel and various aluminum alloys.

2.3 Gas Metal Arc Welding (GMAW)

- **Operational Mechanism:** GMAW utilizes a continuously fed consumable wire electrode, which is advanced through a welding gun. An electric arc is established between this wire and the workpiece, with the weld area protected from atmospheric gases by an inert or semi-inert gas shield delivered through the same gun.
- **Industrial Applications:** This technique is extensively employed in high-volume manufacturing environments, including automotive assembly, fabrication of heavy machinery, shipbuilding, and large-scale pipeline construction. It is optimally suited for joining materials of medium to significant thickness.
- **Associated Advantages:**
  - Achieves high deposition rates, typically in the range of 5 to 10 kilograms per hour, making it highly efficient for production-oriented tasks.
  - Easily integrated into automated and robotic welding systems.
  - Produces consistent and smooth welds, often requiring minimal post-weld finishing.
- **Inherent Limitations:**
  - Susceptible to atmospheric disturbances (e.g., wind or drafts) which can compromise the shielding gas efficacy in outdoor settings.
  - Requires a stable and consistent power supply for optimal performance.
  - Not ideally suited for extremely thin metal sections due to an elevated risk of burn-through.
- **Common Weld Discontinuities:** Frequently observed defects include porosity, excessive spatter, incomplete fusion, and burn-through.
- **Performance Metric:** When appropriate parameters are maintained, MIG welds in mild steel commonly attain ultimate tensile strengths of 450–500 MPa.

3. Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) Methodologies

Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) techniques represent a cornerstone in assessing the structural integrity and quality of manufactured components, particularly weldments, without inducing any physical alteration or damage to the material. The strategic selection of an appropriate NDE method is a critical decision, primarily predicated upon factors such as the material's composition, its thickness, the anticipated types of discontinuities, and the requisite level of detection sensitivity.

3.1 Ultrasonic Testing (UT)

- **Principle of Operation:** UT employs the transmission of high-frequency acoustic waves into a material. Discontinuities or interfaces within the material cause reflections or scattering of these waves, which are then detected and analyzed to infer the presence, size, and location of internal flaws.
- **Key Applications:** This method is widely deployed for the inspection of thick-section components, including pressure vessels, pipelines, heavy industrial plates, and critical aerospace structures.
- **Advantages:**
  - Exhibits a superior capability for identifying internal volumetric and planar defects, such as cracks, non-metallic inclusions, and regions of incomplete fusion.
  - Facilitates highly precise characterization, enabling accurate sizing and spatial localization of detected anomalies.
  - Offers significant penetration depths, capable of inspecting over 1 meter in steel compositions.

- **Constraints:** Requires highly skilled and certified operators for accurate interpretation and stringent surface preparation to ensure effective acoustic coupling.
- **Detection Capability:** Modern UT systems are capable of resolving defects with dimensions as small as 0.2 mm within steel plates and welded joints.

3.2 Radiographic Testing (RT)

- **Principle of Operation:** RT utilizes ionizing radiation, specifically X-rays or gamma rays, which penetrate the test object. Differential attenuation of this radiation by varying material densities or internal discontinuities results in a radiographic image (film or digital), thus revealing internal volumetric defects.
- **Key Applications:** Essential for quality control in critical infrastructure such as pipelines, pressure containment vessels, structural steel fabrications, and aerospace weldments.
- **Advantages:**
  - Highly effective in uncovering volumetric imperfections, including porosity, slag entrapments, certain crack geometries, and incomplete penetration.
  - Provides a permanent, tangible record of the inspection, invaluable for quality assurance documentation and archival purposes.
- **Constraints:** Involves inherent radiation hazards, necessitating strict adherence to elaborate safety protocols, controlled access zones, and the deployment of lead shielding to protect personnel.
- **Detection Capability:** Advanced digital radiography systems can routinely identify internal defects with dimensions greater than or equal to 0.5 mm.

3.3 Magnetic Particle Testing (MPT)

- **Principle of Operation:** MPT involves magnetizing a ferromagnetic material. Discontinuities that lie on or immediately beneath the surface disrupt the magnetic flux lines, creating a localized leakage field that attracts finely divided ferromagnetic particles, making the defects visually apparent.
- **Key Applications:** Primarily applied to ferromagnetic steel components, encompassing critical elements like shafts, railway tracks, and structural steel welds where surface integrity is paramount.
- **Advantages:** Rapid, notably sensitive to surface-breaking and shallow subsurface cracks, and generally cost-effective compared to other NDE methodologies.
- **Constraints:** Exclusively applicable to ferromagnetic materials, and the test surface must be meticulously cleaned to ensure accurate particle adhesion and interpretation.
- **Detection Capability:** Capable of discerning surface cracks with depths as shallow as 0.1 mm under optimal conditions.

3.4 Liquid Penetrant Testing (LPT)

- **Principle of Operation:** LPT relies on the principle of capillary action. A liquid penetrant is applied to the surface, seeping into surface-breaking discontinuities. After a dwell time, excess penetrant is removed, and a developer is applied, which draws the entrapped penetrant out of the flaws, forming highly visible indications.
- **Key Applications:** Utilized across various industries for the inspection of aircraft components, castings, pipelines, and a wide array of welded joints.
- **Advantages:** Characterized by its operational simplicity, low cost, and the production of distinct, easily interpretable visual indications of surface defects.

Welding Process	Common Discontinuities	Predominant NDT Methods	Observations and Insights	Sensitivity of Detection
SMAW (Shielded Metal Arc Welding)	Gas voids, slag entrapment, fracture initiation, incomplete material coalescence	Radiography (RT), Ultrasound (UT), Magnetic Particle (MPT)	SMAW offers remarkable versatility, suitable for field applications; however, its slag production can be a source of inclusions. NDT is crucial for verifying structural soundness by pinpointing both external and internal imperfections.	RT: ≥0.5 mm, UT: ≥0.2 mm, MPT: ≥0.1 mm
GTAW (Gas Tungsten Arc Welding)	Lack of fusion, porosity, tungsten contamination	RT, UT	GTAW is renowned for producing highly precise and clean joints with minimal spatter. NDT plays a vital role in guaranteeing that demanding sectors (e.g., aerospace, nuclear, pipelines) meet stringent criteria for flaw absence.	RT: ≥0.5 mm, UT: ≥0.2 mm
GMAW (Gas Metal Arc Welding)	Weld bead irregularities (spatter), porosity, surface notches (undercut), material penetration failure (burn-through)	RT, UT, MPT	Its accelerated deposition rate facilitates efficient fabrication of medium-to-thick sections. NDT is instrumental in discerning volumetric defects (like porosity and inclusions) and surface anomalies (such as undercuts and cracks).	RT: ≥0.5 mm, UT: ≥0.2 mm, MPT: ≥0.1 mm

Welding Process	Common Discontinuities	Predominant NDT Methods	Observations and Insights	Sensitivity of Detection
SAW (Submerged Arc Welding)	Porosity, slag inclusion, undercut	RT, UT	Characterized by high deposition rates and deep penetration, SAW is well-suited for heavy industrial applications. Its positional limitations necessitate NDT to confirm the absence of volumetric defects in substantial plate materials.	RT: ≥0.5 mm, UT: ≥0.2 mm

- **Constraints:** Strictly limited to the detection of discontinuities that are open to the surface; unsuitable for porous materials as the penetrant can be absorbed generally.
- **Detection Capability:** Can effectively reveal surface discontinuities with widths as fine as 0.05 mm, with specific performance influenced by the penetrant system type and application parameters (e.g., dwell time).

Section 4: A Comparative Evaluation of Welding Processes Through Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)

The inherent reliability of a welding methodology is inextricably linked to its predisposition for developing discontinuities and the efficacy of non-destructive testing (NDT) techniques in identifying such flaws. Table 1 presents a comprehensive comparative analysis:

Key Insights from the Comparative Study:

1. **Volumetric Flaw Detection:** Ultrasonic Testing (UT) and Radiographic Testing (RT) are the preeminent methods for identifying volumetric defects such as porosity, slag inclusions, and lack of fusion. UT excels in precise defect sizing, while RT provides an enduring visual record of internal imperfections.
2. **Surface and Near-Surface Defect Identification:** For surface-breaking flaws like cracks and undercuts, as well as surface irregularities like spatter, Magnetic Particle Testing (MPT) (for ferromagnetic materials) and Dye Penetrant Testing (DPT) offer efficient detection capabilities.
3. **GTAW's Quality Advantage:** Gas Tungsten Arc Welding consistently yields superior weld quality with minimal defects, making it the preferred choice for safety-critical applications, albeit at a lower deposition rate (approximately 0.5–1 kg/hr).
4. **SMAW's Field Utility and Challenges:** Shielded Metal Arc Welding's inherent flexibility is advantageous for field operations. However, its propensity for slag-related defects mandates rigorous process control and diligent NDT.
5. **GMAW's Balance and Environmental Influence:** Gas Metal Arc Welding strikes a balance between production efficiency and weld quality. Environmental factors, particularly during outdoor operations, can impact gas shielding effectiveness, underscoring the importance of thorough inspection.

6. **SAW's High-Volume Capacity and Constraints:** Submerged Arc Welding achieves substantial deposition rates (10–12 kg/hr) and deep penetration, ideal for thick materials. Its application is primarily restricted to flat or horizontal welding positions, with NDT essential for confirming the absence of internal flaws.

Section 5: Conclusion

The synergistic integration of selected welding techniques with appropriate non-destructive testing methodologies is fundamental to upholding structural integrity, ensuring reliability, and maintaining quality control across industrial applications. The principal conclusions drawn from this analysis are:

- **The Interplay Between Weld Quality and Productivity:**
  - GTAW stands out for producing exceptionally high-quality, defect-free welds, essential for critical infrastructure.
  - SMAW and GMAW offer enhanced productivity, particularly suited for field deployments and high-volume production environments.
  - SAW is optimized for welding thick-section components within heavy industries.
- **Efficacy of NDT Techniques:**
  - Ultrasonic Testing (UT) demonstrates high effectiveness in detecting internal discontinuities and precisely characterizing defect dimensions down to 0.2 mm.
  - Radiographic Testing (RT) provides a permanent record of volumetric flaws measuring 0.5 mm or larger.
  - Magnetic Particle Testing (MPT) and Dye Penetrant Testing (DPT) offer rapid and cost-effective means to identify surface-connected flaws, with MPT detecting imperfections as small as 0.1 mm and DPT capable of discerning flaws as minute as 0.05 mm.
- **Proactive Maintenance and Safety Assurance:**
  - The early identification of weld defects is imperative to prevent catastrophic failures, mitigate repair expenditures, and ensure adherence to industry standards such as ASME Section IX, ISO 5817, and AWS D1.1.
- **Criteria for Method Selection:**
  - The optimal selection of a welding technique and its complementary NDT method is contingent upon factors including material type, thickness, the operational environment, positional constraints, and the required performance characteristics of the structure.
  - Achieving an equilibrium between high productivity, cost-effectiveness, and robust structural integrity relies on the judicious selection and combination of these processes.

Concluding Statement: By strategically choosing welding techniques and rigorously applying suitable NDT methods, industries can achieve the construction of robust, defect-free, and high-performance welded structures, thereby advancing both safety protocols and operational efficiency.

References

1. American Welding Society. *Welding Handbook, 9th Edition, Volume 1: Welding Science & Technology*. American Welding Society. ISBN: 0-87171-657-7.
2. Cary, H. B., & Helzer, S. C. (2004). *Modern welding technology* (6th ed.). Pearson. ISBN: 978-0131130296.
3. Raj, B., Jayakumar, T., & Thavasimuthu, M. (2002). *Practical non destructive testing* (2nd ed.). Narosa Publishing / Woodhead Publishing. ISBN: 1-85573-600-4.
4. Welding Research Council (WRC). *WRC Bulletin Reports on Weld Defect Detection and Analysis* (Multiple reports, e.g., WRC 566: 2016). Welding Research Council, Inc.
5. Welding Research Council. (1989). *Prediction of ferrite content in*

stainless steel welds (WRC Bulletin No. 342). Welding Research Council.

6. Hahn, Y., Maack, R., Buchholz, G., Purrio, M., Angerhausen, M., Tercan, H., & Meisen, T. (2023). *Towards a deep learning based online quality prediction system for welding processes*. arXiv.
7. Kam al Basha, S., & Nambiar, A. (2025). *Advancing welding defect detection in maritime operations via Adapt WeldNet and defect detection interpretability analysis*. arXiv.
8. Stemmer, G., López, J. A., Del Hoyo Ontiveros, J. A., Raju, A., Thimmanaik, T., & Biswas, S. (2024). *Unsupervised welding defect detection using audio and video*. arXiv.
9. Industrial Radiography Testing NDT 2025 **ISBN:** 978-93-7020-810-0 **Publisher:** Iterative International Publishers (IIP Books)

