

A Review on Earthquake Engineering and Seismic Risk Mitigation

Bhavya Singh*, Fawaz Matheen, Bhoomika Malode B

Department of Civil Engineering, Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, Bengaluru

Email: bhavya.singh0303@gmail.com

Abstract:

Earthquake engineering is a multidisciplinary field focused on understanding ground motion, structural response, and developing systems that minimize life and economic losses during seismic events. The rapid growth of urban infrastructure, particularly in seismic-prone regions, has increased the need for resilient structures and reliable hazard assessment techniques. This paper provides a comprehensive review of earthquake mechanisms, seismic hazard analysis, soil behaviour, structural response, seismic design philosophies, and modern retrofitting technologies. The study also highlights recent advancements such as performance-based seismic design (PBSD), base isolation, energy dissipation devices, and machine learning applications in damage prediction. Gaps in current research and recommendations for future investigation are also discussed.

Keywords: Earthquake engineering, seismic hazard, retrofitting, liquefaction, base isolation, structural response.

1. Introduction

Earthquakes are among the most destructive natural hazards due to their sudden onset and catastrophic impact on infrastructure and human life. The engineering community continuously develops new design approaches to ensure structures can withstand seismic forces without significant damage. Modern earthquake engineering integrates geology, structural mechanics, geotechnical engineering, risk assessment, computational modelling, and advanced materials. The primary goal is to protect life safety, limit structural and non-structural damage, and ensure quick post-disaster functionality. Traditional force-based approaches have evolved into performance-based design philosophies, with empirical models replaced by computational simulations and machine learning systems.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Earthquake Mechanisms and Seismic Waves

Earthquakes originate from the sudden release of energy along faults. Ground motion characteristics vary depending on fault type, depth, magnitude, and site conditions. Ground motion includes body waves (P-waves and S-waves) and surface waves (Love and

Rayleigh waves). Surface waves produce the most damage due to large amplitude and longer duration.

2.2 Seismic Hazard Assessment

Seismic hazard can be analyzed using Deterministic Seismic Hazard Assessment (DSHA) or Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis (PSHA). PSHA incorporates uncertainties in earthquake occurrence and ground motion prediction, used widely in modern codes such as IS 1893, Eurocode 8, and ASCE 7.

2.3 Soil Behaviour and Liquefaction

Soil plays a crucial role in seismic performance. Key issues include site amplification, liquefaction, seismic settlement, and landslides. Liquefaction occurs when saturated sandy soils lose strength during shaking. Microzonation and shear-wave velocity profiling help assess site-specific risks.

2.4 Structural Response to Earthquakes

A structure's response depends on stiffness, mass, damping, ductility, and redundancy. Common failure mechanisms include soft-storey collapse, column shear failure, beam-column joint failure, torsional irregularity, and pounding between adjacent

buildings. Modern design emphasizes ductility, redundancy, and strong-column weak-beam behavior.

2.5 Seismic Design Philosophies

Performance-Based Seismic Design (PBSD) evolved from traditional force-based design. PBSD defines performance levels such as Operational, Immediate Occupancy, Life Safety, and Collapse Prevention. PBSD uses nonlinear static pushover analysis and time-history analysis.

2.6 Retrofitting Techniques

Retrofitting enhances the seismic capacity of vulnerable structures. Common techniques include RC jacketing, steel jacketing, FRP wrapping, shear wall addition, base isolation systems, energy dissipation devices, and foundation strengthening. Base isolation is effective for hospitals, heritage buildings, and bridges.

2.7 Recent Advancements in Earthquake Engineering

Recent innovations include machine learning for damage prediction, shake-table testing with real-time hybrid simulation, smart materials like shape memory alloys (SMA), distributed sensor networks and SHM systems, and low-cost dampers for developing nations.

3. Methodology

The review uses a thematic approach, collecting research from international journals, seismic codes, databases, and reconnaissance reports. Sources were selected based on relevance to seismic hazard analysis, soil behaviour, structural performance, and retrofit technologies.

4. Discussion

4.1 Importance of Updated Seismic Codes

Many regions still rely on outdated codes, increasing seismic vulnerability. Retrofitting programs are required for older buildings, especially those built before modern codes.

4.2 Role of Soil-Structure Interaction (SSI)

SSI significantly affects seismic response. Neglecting SSI results in inaccurate predictions of drift, base shear, and period elongation. Recent studies promote nonlinear soil modelling.

4.3 Advances in Nonlinear Modelling

Finite element analysis, discrete element modelling, and physics-based simulations allow engineers to model crack propagation, hinge formation, cyclic degradation, and collapse mechanisms.

4.4 Barriers to Implementing Modern Technologies

Constraints include high cost of base isolation, limited trained engineers, lack of government retrofitting programs, and poor construction quality.

4.5 Future Prospects

Future seismic design includes affordable isolation systems, AI-driven automation, digital twins, IoT-based monitoring, and carbon-neutral retrofitting systems.

5. Conclusion

Earthquake engineering continues to evolve, yet many structures remain vulnerable. Enhancing resilience requires updated codes, retrofitting old buildings, adopting PBSD, and integrating technologies such as AI, smart sensors, and energy-dissipation systems. Future research should emphasize cost-effective, scalable, and sustainable seismic solutions.

6. References

1. A. K. Chopra, Dynamics of Structures, 5th ed., 2020.
2. Priestley et al., Seismic Design and Retrofit of Bridges, 1996.
3. BIS, IS 1893, 2016.
4. CEN, Eurocode 8, 2004.
5. FEMA, FEMA P-58, 2018.
6. Kramer, Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering, 1996.