International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 9 Issue 5, Sep-Oct 2026

Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Generation Z Expectations vs. Traditional Corporate Retention Strategies: Rethinking Employee Loyalty and Engagement or, in Another Vein, a Well-Paid Specialist at a Technology Firm Might Emphasise Loyalty to the Enterprise

Shriya Vilas Balpande*, Nitin Ganorkar**

*(MBA Semester III, Human Resource Management, Wainganga College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur, India
Email: shreeyabalpande99@gmail.com)

** (Wainganga College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur, India
Email: nitinganorkar@gmail.com)

----------**********----------

Abstract:

With the entry of Gen Z into the labour market, there is a heated debate about employee loyalty, commitment to the company and the effectiveness of old methods of employee retention. Retention frameworks in traditional corporations have focused on tenure, opportunity for promotion, compensation, fringe benefits and performance appraisals. In contrast, today's conversations around Gen Z's workplace preferences focus on flexibility, continuous education, mental health, meaningful work, transparent leadership, recognition, and career development. The study explored the extent to which traditional retention models align with expectations typically ascribed to Generation Z and the organisational implications of any misalignments.

This paper is a narrative review of peer-reviewed studies, global workforce assessments, and contemporary human resource management reports. The study acknowledges the limitations of generational interpretations and highlights that trends in the workplace are affected by factors such as age, career stage, economic circumstances, occupation, and personal situations. Results show that traditional retention strategies such as tenure, promotions and standard incentives are not enough when employees experience a lack of potential for progression, low flexibility in their work, poor relationships with their managers and lack of recognition. The data do not suggest that Generation Z is avoiding stability or long-term organisational memberships. Financial security, career development, meaningful work and wellbeing are all interrelated.

Keywords — **Generation Z, Employee Retention, Employee Loyalty, Employee Engagement, Psychological Contract, Human Resource Management.**

----------**********----------

I. PREFACE

Employee retention has emerged as a strategic solution for organisations seeking to retain institutional knowledge, ensure operational continuity, cut costs of recruitment and sustain organisational performance. In the recognition system, employees have implemented different retention strategies, such as competitive salaries, job security, a rank system, employee benefits, performance reviews and tenure rewards. These strategies were developed in organisations in environments where stable employment relations and relatively predictable career paths were a standard feature of professional life.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

Generally, Gen Z are those born in the late 1990s to the early 2010s (though definitions vary depending on the research). The members are starting their work in a context shaped by digital interconnectivity, economic instability, changing social norms and the rise of alternative career paths. Their work experiences are also shaped by differences in education, socio-economic status, industry, location, and professional development.

Deloitte's 2025 report, based on a survey of 23,482 Gen Z respondents from 44 countries, identified learning & development, financial security, meaningful employment and organisational factors driving career satisfaction.

These findings raise an important question for employers: Are companies using outdated models to understand how employees value their careers?

A. Research Topic

Traditional retention frameworks tend to focus on measurable elements such as length of service, annual attrition rates, internal mobility, and salary competitiveness. These metrics are still valid but may not fully capture the employee's perspective on career progression, confidence in leadership, adaptability, psychological safety and the long-term benefits of staying with an employer.

Those entities that perceive employee mobility as a generational mindset rather than a manifestation of the employment relationship worry rather than jeopardise the efficacy of their retention strategies.

Therefore, the main research issue is the possible mismatch between the expectations of early-career employees, especially Generation Z, and traditional corporate retention mechanisms.

B. Research Objectives

This document has five objectives.

- To analyze the uniqueness of traditional corporate retention frameworks.
- To understand the general workplace expectations held for Generation Z.
- To assess the congruence and discordance of the two methodologies.

The aim is to examine the empirical evidence that supports, qualifies or refutes generational theories of employee retention. The research interview offers a flexible retention model for organisations to adopt and evaluate. Investigation Questions 1.3 The study aims at answering the following questions: What do traditional corporate organisations tell us about employees' commitment to their organisations?

- Enquire about the top expectations for retaining Gen Z employees in the workplace.
- How well do traditional retention strategies live up to or fail to meet these expectations?
- What organisational strategies do companies use to address operational needs, ensure equity, and improve overall organisational effectiveness? ity?

II. THEORETICAL AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS

A. Models for Corporate Legacy Protection

Legacy organisational frameworks are traditional organisational approaches that use standardised employment practices, structured career progression, financial incentives, and managerial control to reduce employee turnover.

Not only old models. Effective human resource management continues to hinge on pay, job security, fringe benefits, performance appraisal and promotion. The constraint is in the case that these mechanisms are taken as necessary preconditions of employee commitment.

Five features often associated with traditional retention systems are particularly relevant.

First, loyalty to tenure. The assumption is that organisations have been around for a long time, are standardised, committed, reliable, and a good fit for the organisation. Those who stay longer with a company may receive additional perks such as seniority benefits and recognition.

Secondly, a graduated career ladder. Success professionally is usually measured by climbing an established corporate ladder. Employees need to show competence and patience to be promoted.

Third, equal incentives. Financial incentives that work: pay, bonuses, benefits and raises. Such systems promote equity and administrative

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

consistency but may not measure employee satisfaction.

Fourth, a formal performance review. Traditionally, performance has been measured, and therefore rewarded or promoted, through annual reviews, hierarchical evaluations and occasional feedback.

Fifth, the organisation regulates the work structure. The rigid working hours, the requirement for physical presence in the office, and the decision-making hierarchy are based on the assumption that visible supervision and standard procedures are the most effective ways to ensure productivity.

Such methods can provide consistency and organization. However, if not implemented with sufficient flexibility or with employee participation, they can create a gap between the employment relationship organizations offer and the employment relationship employees desire.

B. Theory of Organisational Contract

The psychological contract is defined as an organisation's perception of the reciprocal obligations between the employee and employer. These beliefs transcend the explicit provisions of employment organisations and encompass expectations of fairness, development, recognition, reliability and leadership conduct.

For example, a worker may take a junior salary in exchange for a lot of training, guidance and possible promotions. If these opportunities are not realised, the employee may feel that a breach of the psychological contract has occurred, regardless of whether the organisation has fulfilled its legal and contractual obligations.

The theory is about Gen Z workers not because it is assumed they have different psychological needs, but because employers may need to meet a wider set of expectations to retain Gen Z.

C. Social Exchange Theory

As per social exchange theory, workplace relationships evolve through reciprocal exchanges. People respond better when they feel valued and treated fairly by the organisation. Recognition, motivational leadership and learning opportunities and flexible working conditions can also signal to employees that the organisation is invested in their growth. Therefore, employees may become more engaged, more willing to go the extra mile, and more likely to stay.

Poor relationships with management, inconsistent recognition and limited advancement opportunities can subsequently reduce the perceived value of the employee-organisation relationship.

The framework implies that retention should not be understood in terms of monetary rewards alone. It is also influenced by the organisational and reciprocal relationships between employees, managers and organisations.

D. The Idea of self-determination

Self-determination theory outlines three psychological needs: autonomy, competence and relatedness.

- Autonomy is the sense of having strong influence in one's tasks.
- Proficiency is the opportunity to learn and refine skills.
- Relatedness is the sense of connection to others and the organisation.

III. METHOD OF RESEARCH

A. Research Methodology

The article employs a narrative literature review and a conceptual synthesis methodology. It reviews literature on generational differences, employee retention, career progression, workplace adaptability and organisational loyalty, along with recent workforce surveys and human resource management documents.

The goal is to identify similarities, test alternative explanations and create a usable framework for organisational implementation. This document does not provide new survey data or assert the establishment of causal links through original statistical investigation.

B. Methodology of origin and criteria for selection

The literature reviewed is:

- Generational Differences in Workplace Attitudes: An Academic Research Study.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

- Study on the relationship of occupational motivation and age, historical background and generational affiliation.
- 2024. An empirical study on retention of generation Z in the platinum group metals mining industry in South Africa.
- Contemporary Organization for Human Resource Management on Employment-Related Attrition and Retention Strategies

The focus was on sources that included methods for retaining Generation Z, definitive results, and relevant organisational information.

C. Framework Organisation

The literature was integrated in six dimensions:

- Monetary stability and remuneration.
- Career progression and development.
- Work-life integration and flexibility.
- Recognition and management relationships.
- The principles, ethos and importance of the organization were explored.
- Workplace well-being and security.

Each aspect was reviewed in terms of traditional organisational strategies, documented employee expectations, and possible organisational responses.

D. Shortcomings of the strategy

This is a narrative review, not a systematic review with a registered protocol, exhaustive search of databases or a formal organisational response. Sources differ in terms of geographical scope, sample characteristics, methodological approach and objectives.

Global employee surveys reveal stated preferences but do not reliably predict actual employee behaviour. Sector analyses contain a wealth of contextual information, but their findings should only be applied to other sectors and countries with or without careful consideration.

The findings should be seen as a collection of relevant evidence, not a definitive ranking of the drivers of retention for all Gen Z workers.

IV. LITERATURE SUMMARY: MAIN TOPICS

Expectations of Generation Z in the Workplace

A. Professional advancement not through hierarchical promotion

The traditional career frameworks often presume that workers want to climb the ladder, gain more managerial power and become more visible in the organization. In this framework, promotion is an important way to reward achievement and to motivate staff to stay.

According to a 2025 Deloitte study, only 6% of Gen Z and millennial respondents say that getting into a leadership role is a top career goal. According to the survey (Deloitte, 2025), learning and development are key determinants of career satisfaction. If an employee is well paid but the job offers little in the way of learning or real opportunities for career progression they might decide to leave an organisation nonetheless. This is a dilemma for organisations that view career development solely as promotion. Such frameworks can unintentionally suggest that organisation. It is only possible for a small group of employees, given the limited number of top-level positions.

The adaptive strategy would differentiate between the career progression (moving to a better position) and career enhancement (acquiring skills, taking on more duties, pursuing lateral opportunities, and increasing professional competence).

B. Integration and adaptability of organisational life

In the conventional corporate organisations, the working hours are fixed, presence on-site is mandatory, and work settings are standardised. Such practices can be critical for industries such as manufacturing, healthcare, retail, infrastructure and other roles that require physical presence or coordinated operational oversight.

But if there is room for flexibility, the employee may be hurt by strict demands and it may not improve performance.

According to the Deloitte 2025 survey, Gen Z and millennial respondents cite well-being and work-life balance as important issues.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

C. Management acknowledgement and quality

The recognition factor is another difference between old and new retention strategies.

Traditional frameworks typically include formal appreciation, promotions, fiefdoms, incentives, and annual performance assessments. These mechanisms are still useful, but they can only offer limited insight into day-to-day performance.

Prompt acknowledgment, positive reinforcement, openness in decision-making and supervisors who show commitment to the development of personnel may also be valued.

You don't need to spend a fortune to express your gratitude. This can be focused criticism of completed tasks, recognition of an individual's contribution during a team meeting, opportunities to present work, or just a candid conversation about how an employee's performance meets organisational goals.

D. Remuneration, financial stability and fair treatment

In the acknowledgement of Gen Z, it's often wrongly assumed that younger workers care more about purpose and flexibility than they do about pay.

Deloitte's 2025 survey, meanwhile, is about the crossroads of finance, importance and wellness. Monetary stability is one of the important aspects of the environment that affects the way in which workers evaluate their lives and careers (Deloitte, 2025).

Compensation matters because it affects financial independence, affordability of housing, family responsibilities, and perceptions of fairness. Employees may also leave if they like the culture but feel their pay is insufficient for the work they do or relative to the competition.

The conventional reward mechanisms can be problematic when salary increases are not transparent, when promotion decisions appear arbitrary, or when the benefits do not match the real situation of the workforce.

E. Significance, principles and aims of the organization

Workers want to see the work they do as part of a larger mission. This could be to create a good product, to tackle a major problem, to help clients, to improve the world, or to support a company whose actions match its stated values.

According to Deloitte's 2025 survey, "meaningful work" is one of the most influential factors in career satisfaction among Gen Z and millennials (Deloitte, 2025).

The challenge for employers is to tell the difference between corporate communication and real intent.

Entities can express commitments to sustainability, diversity, social accountability or innovation. But if staff can see the differences between what management promises and what they actually do, it damages the credibility of the organisation.

F. Mental health, boundaries and wellbeing

"Employees welfare" has become one of the most important topic in today's labor force. High workload intensity, non-standard working hours, inadequate recovery time and poor supervisory relations could influence negatively the employee satisfaction and enhance the attractiveness of alternative job offers.

While legacy retention frameworks provide piecemeal wellness programs to prevent burnout, they do not address the underlying issues that cause overwork.

The right way to handle this problem is to consider both personal assistance and the initial organization of work.

"Employee measures include staffing ratios, stable workload topics, clear standards, labour off-hours communication, support resources and managers who respond to employees' concerns.

V. AN EVALUATION OF GEN Z EXPECTATIONS AND CLASSIC RETENTION PROGRAMMES

The following comparison captures the main areas of agreement and disagreement observed in the organisation.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

TABLE I

Measurements:	Conventional retention focus	Anticipation of the present to think	Feasible organizational response
Career progression	Rising up the levels of hierarchy	ongoing organisationalised professional opportunities	Develop specialized, lateral, and project-based routes."
Performance Evaluation	Formal evaluation to take place	Prompt specialisation	Combine formal assessments with continuous assessments.
Employment arrangement II.	Fixed location and fixed hours	allowed employment flexibility	developing policies to be flexible on roles
Acknowledgements	Annual awards and cash prizes	Continual and Fair Evaluation Acknowledgement	Standardise data-driven identification systems.
Compensatory	Continuous scales and yearly increments	Standardise clear competitive compensation	Evaluate market competitiveness and provide justifications for compensation decisions.
Employee Engagement	The length of time an employee has been with the organisation, along with their clarity of purpose and dedication, is an important factor to consider.	A good, mutually beneficial employment relationship	Measure engagement, professional security and preventable attrition.
Advisory,	Power of position	Executive assistance. Dialog and reassurance	Build coaching and communication skills for leaders.

The analysis suggests that the main conflict is not necessarily between two generations with opposing values. It's not the people. It's about the assumptions built into organisational structures.

The conventional model dialogue stresses consistency, reliability and internal controls. Modern standards might be based on personal growth, flexibility, receptiveness and quality of daily work life.

VI. THE PROBLEM OF RETENTION: IS IT BASICALLY A GENERATION ISSUE?

A close look will help us distinguish real changes in workplace expectations from generational clichés.

A. Evidence of Cross-Generation Similarity

Research comparing the perspectives of different generations in the workplace has yielded far more robust findings than is generally claimed in public debates.

Costanza et al. (2012) performed a meta-analysis on job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and turnover intentions and found little generational variation that could be used as evidence that generational membership is a strong predictor of these attitudes.

Generational interpretations can oversimplify the workforce dynamics and create assumptions about employees without evidence (Rudolph et al., 2021).

B. Professional stage and financial environment

Many Gen Z workers are just starting their careers. People may be entering organisational force, moving employers for experience, building professional identities or transitioning from education to employment.

Thus, transitions early in career could be a normal part of professional development, rather than a distinctly generational mentality.

Economic considerations are also important. Whether to stay or leave will depend on the attractiveness of the housing cost, inflation, job security, demand for labor and other job opportunities.

C. Differences in Generation Z

Generation Z workers have different career educational backgrounds, family responsibilities, income levels, jobs, and geographic locations.

Alternatively, consider a well-compensated expert at a tech company who values autonomy and telecommuting. A worker in a production facility might value steady work hours, safe labour, and reliable transportation. An employee with family responsibilities may value job stability and benefits over a short period of career exploration.

D. What the data says

The literature suggests a deeper conclusion: some of the workplace practices that are emphasised in conversations about Gen Z may be especially

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

salient among younger employees, but many basic needs are shared.

Fair pay, good management, reasonable workloads and opportunities for growth and respect are not the exclusive province of one generation.

VII. WHY LEGACY RETENTION FRAMEWORKS FALL DOWN

A. Reliance on tenure as a proxy for commitment

Tenure is easy to measure but does not necessarily mean participation, efficiency or desire to stay.

Personnel may remain with an organization for personal reasons, lack of alternatives, or financial constraints. However, an employee leaving after two years may have been a great employee and gained very useful skills.

Rewarding presence over contribution is a potential pitfall if loyalty is measured mainly by time. Internal career opportunities are not visible enough. While organisations can provide training programmes, they can't teach employees how to use their new skills to get ahead. Training does not ensure retention. Employees need to see how professional development translates into meaningful work, greater responsibility, opportunities for internal advancement or recognition of their competence.

This is a skills mismatch, where workers have acquired new skills but lack a way to use them in their current jobs. SHRM's 2025 turnover factors review highlights the need to consider career paths, not just salary adjustments, as career-oriented motivations are one of the top reasons for employees to leave (SHRM, 2025).

B. Unexpected management conorganisation

Managers are the people who personify central corporate policies at a local level.

An organization may officially celebrate flexibility, recognition and growth, but individual managers can deny leave, offer little feedback or distribute opportunities inequitably.

VIII. A FLEXIBLE RETENTION MODEL PROPOSED

Based on the literature review, this article proposes an adaptive retention framework with seven interrelated dimensions.

A. Organisation Transparent Career Path

Organizations should not assume employees know how advancement works, but should be transparent about career opportunities.

Methods are optimal when:

- Dissemination of proficiency criteria for key posts.
- Organisations' career progression into specialist and managerial work but
- Establishing specific standards for promotion and wage progression.
- Allowing for lateral moves and cross-disciplinary roles.
- Training that links to practical skills and how to apply them
- Regular discussions on career development.

Career frameworks should not be a guaranty of automatic progression. It tries to clear the possibilities for the employees, the skills needed and the real opportunities that are there.

B. Second Dimension: Competence and Confidence of Administration

Managers should be enablers to assist employees in their performance and development, not just supervisors.

Managerial competence can be enhanced by training in coaching or mentoring, giving constructive input, mediating conflicts and assessing and managing workloads fairly.

In regular one-to-ones you should review current performance, barriers, development goals and support needs.

Managerial accountability should include the quality of the way teams are run. However, retention should not be the only measure for managerial effectiveness as it could happen that managers lose people through promotions, organizational restructuring or career changes.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

C. Third Dimension: Flexible and Fair Employment Structure

Flexibility has to be designed into the operational requirements of the role.

Where telecommuting is practical, organisations may provide hybrid arrangements or the option to choose where to work. Where physical presence effectiveness is similar, attention can be paid to foreseeable timetables, systems for organisational advance notice of alterations of schedule and sensible regulation of working hours.

The idea is not to have the same set-up for all employees. We need to ensure fair access to the right kind of flexibility, with clear explanations for any role-based differences.

D. Aspect 4: Recognition and evaluation of performance

Acknowledgment should be immediate, accurate, and based on significant contributions.

Organisations should supplement performance reviews with ongoing feedback and venues for employees to share their successes.

Recognition systems need to capture the many ways people contribute – through collaboration, technical expertise, customer support, innovation and operational reliability.

Acknowledgement of nepotism: recognition should be based on explicit standards and open procedures.

IX. STRATEGY FOR EXECUTION

The suggested framework is phased in application.

A. Stage one: Identify the retention problem.

In the first 30 days organizations should analyze their data on turnover, exit interviews, engagement surveys, compensation methodologies and internal mobility trends.

The examination must make a phased inclusion between turnover that is preventable and turnover that is related to retirement, voluntary career change, organisational restructuring or other factors that are not directly under the control of the organisation.

And leaders must determine which employee groups and organizational contexts warrant further inquiry.

B. Stage 2. Identify IM, organisations, and intentions. analyse

Between day 31 and 60, the HR and business executives should identify a few interventions based on the assessment.

For example, if career progression is a concern for organisations, the organisation can enquire. ment career pathways and structured developmental discussions, whereas if attrition is an issue due to unpredictable scheduling, the emphasis might be on uniformity of rosters rather than a new education platform.

Interventions should be designed with the participation of the employees and management to guarantee their feasibility and equity.

C. Stage 3: Assess and investigate

Days 61-90: Selected interventions in appropriate teams/business units by pilot organisation

Organizations should establish baseline metrics, capture implementation costs, and determine the results they want to affect.

Where possible, pilot results should be compared with similar teams not yet implementing the intervention. Such comparisons could improve evaluation, although differences between teams and short periods of observation may limit causal inferences.

D. Stage 4: Expand and refine

Organisations pilot, and organisations will need to evaluate whether the interventions have improved the specific employee experience and whether the benefits outweigh the costs.

Successful methods can be expanded, and unsuccessful approaches may need to be refined or discontinued.

The 90 days should be seen as a pilot implementation period, not as evidence of improved long-term retention. Employee turnover and commitment to the organisation are usually measured over longer time periods.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

X. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROPOSALS

Organizations should assess both intermediate employee experiences and long-term workforce outcomes to assess the effectiveness of the proposed framework.

TABLE II

Evaluate 10.	It examines parameters	Organisation
Staff turnover rate	Accidental parameters.	View trends by job title, tenure, and department.
First year dropout	Attrition of relatively inexperienced staff (employees)	Look into expectations of management regarding hiring, training, and support.
First-year turnover rate	Changing Roles in the Organization	Assess the presence of internal career paths.
Clarity of occupation.	Employees' awareness of organisation opportunities	Assessment of trust and significance of career architecture
Effectiveness of Management	Top grade feedback, help and fair treatment	Identify which teams need the most help from a manager.
Engagement of Staff	Employees' involvement with their work and organization	Use survey data to complement qualitative results.
balance between professional and personal life	Employees' capacity to cope with the demand organiser's time.	Check the schedules and the recovery time of the activities.
Cost of retention strategy	Money for projects	We must balance costs against real operating and labor benefits.

The following propositions could form a basis for future empirical research:

Proposition 1: The negative association between greater perception of career clarity and decreased voluntary turnover intentions will be stronger for early career professionals valuing professional growth.

Proposition 2: Employee engagement will positively relate with company commitment with recognition and supportive managerial relationships.

Proposition 3: The relationship between workplace flexibility and employee retention is influenced by the interaction of job attributes, operational constraints, and employee circumstances. Proposition 4: The perception of equity in compensation, promotion, and developmental opportunities will be positively related to higher levels of organisational commitment. Hypothesis 5: When controlling for career stage, job characteristics, economic factors and individual preferences, the relationship between Gen Z affiliation and retention outcomes will be attenuated. Proposition 6: Efforts to retain employees that address the root causes of their dissatisfaction will be more successful than general, non-specific programmes that do not explore the reasons for leaving.

These are future conjectures to be investigated, not causal inferences.

XI. DISCOURSE AND SETTLEMENT

The results indicate that the perceived tension between Gen Z expectations and traditional corporate retention practices is more accurately understood as a problem of organizational adaptation rather than an inevitable generational gap.

The tried-and-tested retention techniques still have a lot to recommend them. Performance can be assessed formally, consistency can be assured through standard remuneration and roles and progress can be mapped through structured career development. It is difficult to fill these with meaningful employee relationships and credible development opportunities.

The evidence also dispels the notion that organizations must choose between meeting employee expectations and delivering business performance.

Adaptability can be adapted to operational needs. Standards are improved via frequent evaluation. Organisational skills and personal marketability can be improved via organisational development. Fairness and accountability can be promoted via defined incentives.

The tried-and-tested shift may be in how organisations approach retention.

One limited strategy is to retain as many staff as possible. The more viable strategy is to retain those employees who can add value, grow professionally and have a symbiotic relationship with the organization.

The significance of this approach is that organisations are neither possible nor necessarily desirable. Loss of some employees can contribute to the renewal of the organisation, and internal

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

mobility and skill development can increase the flexibility in the workforce. Retention then should be measured not only by the length of stay of employees but also by the quality of the workforce, continuity, organisational capability and preventability of turnover.

The research shows generational labels should. sed with caution. Surveys show common evaluation. gOrganisationalrs, but there is a great deal of diversity within Gen Z and many of development. ons discussed are common across age groups.

A policy reformulation based on generational classification by an entity may be unintentionally replacing one rigid structure with another. In contrast, a flexible strategy that includes universal benchmarks with empirical flexibility allows the needs of the workforce and the demands of the role to inform the implementation of retention strategies.

XII. LIMITATIONS AND POTENTIAL DIRECTIONS

There are many constraints to consider.

The evidence base is a mix of workforce organisation reports and academic research. These are of varying methodological rigour and are not directly comparable.

The second is a case study done by Kgarimetsa and Naidoo (2024) in a specific industrial setting in South Africa. The results cannot be generalised to know whether the same relationships will be found in other industrial sectors or country contexts. Third, stated preferences do not necessarily match actual choices of employment. An employee may say he wants flexibility but take a less flexible job because it pays better, offers better training or is more secure. Fourth, it is hard to make comparisons between generations because of age, history, career stage, and economics. Such effects are difficult to explain by cross-sectional studies. Future research should look at worker turnover in time over different ages, industries, and countries. Longitudinal studies could test whether career clarity, managerial effectiveness, equitable pay, and flexibility of work predict retention after controlling for other relevant variables.

XIII. CONCLUSION

The discussion of Generation Z and employee retention raises a more basic question about the nature of staff-company relations. Old-style retention policies have foGen Z on financial incentives, clear career paths, corporate stability, and standardised management approaches. While these elements are still relevant, they may not be sufficient in cases where employees feel they have few opportunities for development, an unflexible work environment, a lack of appreciation, or a lack of trust.

The answer to successful retention is to create an organisational infrastructure that is more responsive to the needs of employees, developing a workplace relationship that offers employees strong incentives to participate, develop and stay with the organisation.

The most effective corporate retention strategy merges the structure and responsibility of traditional HR systems with the flexibility required in today's work climate. Rather than viewing Gen Z as a challenge to overcome, organisations should see the evolving workforce as an opportunity to build more effective, equitable, and resilient employment relationships.

REFERENCES

[1] Costanza, D. P., Badger, J. M., Fraser, R. L., Severt, J. B., and Gade, P. A. (2012).
[2] Deloitte. (2025). 2025 Gen Z & Millennial Survey: Striking a balance between finances, purpose, and wellness. Deloitte Global https://www.deloitte.com/in/en/issues/work/genz-millennial-survey.html
[3] Kgarimetsa, T. S., & Naidoo, C. .
[4] Rudolph, C. W., Rauvola, R. S., & Zacher, H. (2021). Organisational dynamics and variation: Debunking myths in organisational science and practice and opening new frontiers. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, *36*, 945-967. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-020-09715-2

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved