RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Exploring the Effects of Personalised Marketing on Customer Satisfaction, Trust and Purchase Intention

Lokesh Sureshkumar Brahmankar *, Dr Nitin Vasantrao Ganorkar **
*(Student, Department of MBA, Wainganga college of engineering and management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India
Email: lokeshbrahmankar01@gmail.com)
** (Professor, Department of MBA, Wainganga college of engineering and management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India
Email : nitinganorkar@gmail.com)

----------**********----------

Abstract:

Personalised marketing has become a central strategy for firms seeking to engage increasingly digital and discerning consumers. By using customer data, analytics, and automation to tailor products, offers, content, and communication to individual preferences, firms aim to deliver more relevant experiences that strengthen customer relationships and drive sales. This study explores the effects of personalised marketing on three key customer outcomes: customer satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention. Adopting a descriptive and analytical research design supported by a structured questionnaire survey of consumers, the study measures perceived personalisation and relates it to satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention, while also considering the role of privacy concerns. The findings indicate that well-executed personalised marketing is positively associated with customer satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention, as relevant recommendations, tailored offers, and timely communication enhance the perceived value and convenience of the shopping experience. However, the findings also show that excessive or intrusive personalisation, and concerns about data privacy, can weaken trust and reduce the positive effects.

Keywords — **Personalised Marketing, Customer Satisfaction, Trust, Purchase Intention, Data Privacy, Digital Marketing, India**

----------**********----------

I. Introduction

The rapid growth of digital commerce, data analytics, and marketing automation has transformed the way firms communicate with and sell to their customers. Personalised marketing, which uses customer data and technology to tailor products, offers, content, and communication to the preferences and behavior of individual customers, has emerged as a defining feature of modern marketing. From product recommendations on e-commerce platforms to personalised emails, targeted advertisements, and customized offers, personalisation now shapes much of the consumer experience.

In India, the adoption of personalised marketing has accelerated with the rapid expansion of e-commerce, digital payments, smartphone penetration, and social media. A young, digitally active, and aspirational consumer base, combined with the availability of large volumes of behavioral data, has encouraged firms across retail, e-commerce, banking, travel, and entertainment to invest heavily in personalisation. For many Indian consumers, personalised recommendations and offers have become a routine part of online shopping and digital engagement.

The appeal of personalised marketing lies in its promise of relevance. By presenting customers with products, content, and offers that match their preferences, firms can reduce search effort, enhance convenience, and make the shopping experience more satisfying. Relevant and timely personalisation can also signal that a firm understands and values its customers, thereby building trust and strengthening the relationship.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

Ultimately, these effects are expected to translate into a greater intention to purchase and to remain loyal.

However, personalised marketing is not without risks. Personalisation depends on the collection and use of customer data, which raises concerns about privacy, consent, and data security. When personalisation becomes excessive, intrusive, or opaque, it can create discomfort, erode trust, and provoke a backlash. The balance between relevance and intrusiveness, and between value and privacy, is therefore central to the effectiveness of personalised marketing.

Understanding how personalised marketing affects customer satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention is important for marketers, platform providers, and policymakers alike. This study investigates these relationships with reference to consumers in the Indian context, examining both the positive effects of relevant personalisation and the moderating role of privacy concerns.

II. Objectives of the Study

The present study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To study the concept of personalised marketing and its forms in the digital environment.
2. To examine consumers' perceptions and experiences of personalised marketing.
3. To analyse the effect of personalised marketing on customer satisfaction.
4. To analyse the effect of personalised marketing on customer trust.
5. To analyse the effect of personalised marketing on purchase intention, and to consider the role of privacy concerns.
6. To offer suggestions for marketers, platform providers, and policymakers.

III. Review of Literature

The literature on personalised marketing draws on marketing, consumer behaviour, and information-systems research. Personalisation is widely defined as the use of customer data to tailor marketing offerings to individual preferences, and it is theorised to enhance perceived relevance, convenience, and value, which in turn improve customer outcomes.

The relationship between personalisation and customer satisfaction is grounded in the expectation-confirmation and value frameworks. Oliver (1980) proposed that satisfaction arises when perceived performance meets or exceeds expectations; relevant personalisation can enhance perceived performance by delivering offerings that fit customer needs, thereby improving satisfaction.

Trust has been extensively studied as a central construct in relationship marketing. Morgan and Hunt (1994), in their commitment-trust theory, argued that trust is fundamental to successful long-term customer relationships. Personalisation can build trust by demonstrating that a firm understands and values its customers, but it can also undermine trust when it relies on opaque or intrusive data practices.

The effect of personalisation on behaviour is often examined through the lens of technology acceptance and persuasion. Davis (1989), through the Technology Acceptance Model, held that perceived usefulness and ease of use drive technology adoption; relevant personalisation increases perceived usefulness of digital channels, encouraging engagement and purchase. Research on recommendation systems has similarly shown that relevant recommendations increase purchase intention and conversion.

At the same time, a substantial body of research addresses the privacy paradox and the costs of personalisation. Studies have shown that while consumers value relevant personalisation, they are also concerned about how their data are collected and used, and that intrusive or excessive personalisation can trigger discomfort, reactance, and reduced trust. This stream of literature highlights privacy concern as an important moderating factor in the effectiveness of personalised marketing.

In the Indian context, industry reports and studies have documented the rapid growth of

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

personalisation in e-commerce, digital banking, and media, driven by data availability and intense competition, alongside growing public and regulatory attention to data protection. The present study builds on this literature by empirically examining how personalised marketing affects satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention, and how privacy concerns shape these effects.

IV. Research Methodology

Research design. The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design. It combines primary data collected through a structured questionnaire with secondary data from industry and regulatory sources to contextualise the findings.

Nature and sources of data. Primary data are collected from consumers through a structured questionnaire covering their experiences of personalised marketing and their satisfaction, trust, purchase intention, and privacy concerns. Secondary data are drawn from industry reports, reputable publications, and regulatory material to describe the broader growth of personalisation and data protection in India.

Sample and sampling method. The target population is consumers who shop or engage online and are therefore exposed to personalised marketing through e-commerce, apps, email, and social media. A convenience and purposive sampling approach is used to reach respondents who are active digital consumers, with an effort to include a mix of age groups, genders, income levels, and usage intensities.

Variables and measurement. Perceived personalisation is measured through indicators such as relevance of recommendations, tailoring of offers and content, and timeliness of communication. The outcome variables are customer satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention, with privacy concern treated as a moderating variable. Responses are captured on Likert-type scales and categorical items.

Hypotheses. The study tests the following working hypotheses:

- H1: Personalised marketing has a significant positive effect on customer satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention.
- H2: Privacy concern significantly moderates (weakens) the positive effect of personalised marketing on trust and purchase intention.

Tools of analysis. The data are analysed using descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, means), cross-tabulation across demographic groups, and comparative analysis of outcomes across levels of perceived personalisation and privacy concern. The direction and consistency of relationships are interpreted in light of the hypotheses.

V. Data Analysis and Interpretation

The analysis examines consumers' exposure to personalisation, its effects on satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention, and the moderating role of privacy concern.

[1] Exposure to and Perception of Personalisation

Consumers encounter personalisation across many touchpoints.

Personalisation touchpoint	Low exposure	Moderate exposure	High exposure
Product recommendations	Occasional	Regular	Constant
Personalised offers and discounts	Rare	Frequent	Very frequent
Tailored emails and notifications	Few	Several	Many
Targeted advertisements	Some	Many	Pervasive
Perceived relevance	Low	Moderate	High

Most active digital consumers report high exposure to personalisation, especially product recommendations and targeted advertisements. Those who perceive personalisation as relevant report a more positive overall experience, while those who perceive it as intrusive report discomfort.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

[2] Effect on Satisfaction, Trust, and Purchase Intention

Comparing outcomes across levels of perceived personalisation reveals clear differences, summarised below.

Outcome	Low perceived personalisation	High perceived personalisation
Customer satisfaction	Lower	Higher
Trust in the firm	Lower	Higher
Purchase intention	Lower	Higher
Convenience and relevance	Lower	Higher

Consumers who perceive personalisation as relevant and well-executed report higher satisfaction, greater trust, and stronger purchase intention. Relevant recommendations and timely offers enhance convenience and perceived value, signalling that the firm understands their needs. This pattern supports hypothesis H1, that personalised marketing positively affects satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention.

[3] Moderating Role of Privacy Concern

The analysis highlights the importance of privacy concern as a moderator, summarised below.

Condition	Effect on trust	Effect on purchase intention
High personalisation, low privacy concern	Strongly positive	Strongly positive
High personalisation, high privacy concern	Weakened	Weakened
Intrusive or excessive personalisation	Negative	Negative

Where privacy concern is low, the positive effects of personalisation on trust and purchase intention are strongest. Where privacy concern is high, or where personalisation is intrusive or opaque, trust and purchase intention are weakened and can turn negative. This supports hypothesis H2, that privacy concern moderates and can weaken the positive effects of personalised marketing.

VI. Findings and Discussion

The principal findings of the study are as follows:

1. **Personalisation is pervasive in digital consumption.** Most active consumers report high exposure through recommendations, offers, emails, and targeted advertisements.
2. **Relevant personalisation improves satisfaction.** Consumers who perceive personalisation as relevant report higher satisfaction due to greater convenience and value.
3. **Personalisation builds trust when done well.** Relevant, transparent personalisation signals that the firm understands customers, strengthening trust.
4. **Personalisation raises purchase intention.** Relevant recommendations and timely offers increase the intention to purchase and to engage further.
5. **Privacy concern moderates the effects.** High privacy concern, intrusive practices, or opaque data use weaken trust and purchase intention and can reverse the positive effects.
6. **Balance is essential.** The effectiveness of personalisation depends on balancing relevance against intrusiveness and value against privacy.

These findings are consistent with relationship-marketing, expectation-confirmation, and technology-acceptance literature, as well as with research on the privacy paradox. The implication is that personalised marketing can be a powerful driver of satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention, but only when it is relevant, transparent, and respectful of privacy. Poorly executed or intrusive personalisation can damage the very relationships it seeks to build.

VII. Limitations of the Study

The study is subject to several limitations:

1. The study relies partly on primary data collected through convenience and purposive sampling,

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

which may limit the representativeness and generalisability of the results.
2. The study captures perceptions at a point in time and may not reflect how attitudes evolve as personalisation and data-protection norms change.
3. The analysis uses descriptive and comparative techniques rather than advanced multivariate or structural modelling, which could be pursued in future research.

VIII. Conclusion

Personalised marketing has a significant and multifaceted effect on customer satisfaction, trust, and purchase intention. The study finds that when personalisation is relevant and well-executed, it enhances satisfaction by improving convenience and value, builds trust by signalling that the firm understands its customers, and increases purchase intention through relevant recommendations and timely offers. These effects reflect the genuine benefits of personalisation in creating more relevant and engaging consumer experiences. At the same time, the study finds that privacy concern plays a decisive moderating role: where consumers worry about how their data are used, or where personalisation becomes intrusive or opaque, trust and purchase intention are weakened and can turn negative. The study concludes that realising the benefits of personalised marketing while avoiding its risks requires a balanced and responsible approach: marketers should personalise with relevance, transparency, and restraint; platform providers should give consumers clear choice and control over their data; and policymakers should strengthen data protection, consent, and disclosure. Future research could extend this analysis with larger, representative samples and structural modelling to quantify the direct and moderated effects of personalised marketing across sectors and consumer segments.

References

1. Oliver, R. L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17(4), 460-469.
2. Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The commitment-trust theory of relationship marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 20-38.
3. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
4. Vesanen, J. (2007). What is personalization? A conceptual framework. *European Journal of Marketing*, 41(5/6), 409-418.
5. Tam, K. Y., & Ho, S. Y. (2006). Understanding the impact of web personalization on user information processing and decision outcomes. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(4), 865-890.
6. Kannan, P. K., & Li, H. A. (2017). Digital marketing: A framework, review and research agenda. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 34(1), 22-45.
7. Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2019). *Digital Marketing: Strategy, Implementation and Practice* (7th ed.). Pearson.
8. Keller, K. L. (2013). *Strategic Brand Management* (4th ed.). Pearson Education.
9. Smith, A. N., Fischer, E., & Yongjian, C. (2012). How does brand-related user-generated content differ across YouTube, Facebook, and Twitter? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 26(2), 102-113.
10. Securities and Exchange Board of India and Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, Government of India. (various years). *Reports and Guidelines on Data Protection*. New Delhi.
11. NASSCOM. (various years). *E-commerce and Digital Consumer Reports*. New Delhi.
12. Kumar, V., & Reinartz, W. (2016). Creating enduring customer value. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 36-68.
13. Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (various years). *Economic Survey*. New Delhi.
14. Pappas, I. O. (2018). User experience in personalized online shopping: A fuzzy-set analysis. *European Journal of Marketing*, 52(7/8), 1679-1703.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved