International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Development-– Volume 9 Issue 6, Nov – Dec 2026

Available at www.ijsred.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Impact of Training and Development on Employee Performance

Kajal Dhiraj Bodhe[1]

[1]MBA – Human Resource Management, Wainganga College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author Details: Kajal Dhiraj Bodhe, MBA – Human Resource Management, Wainganga College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India. Email: kajalbodhe12345@gmail.com

----------**********----------

Abstract

Training and development are important human resource management practices that help employees improve their knowledge, skills, confidence, and ability to perform their jobs effectively. This study examines the perceived impact of training and development on employee performance, with attention to work quality, productivity, confidence, job satisfaction, and the challenges organizations may face while conducting training. A quantitative and descriptive research approach is proposed using a structured questionnaire. For demonstration of the paper format, the results section includes an illustrative sample of 125 responses; these figures are not actual field findings and must be replaced with collected survey data before academic submission. The illustrative results show how responses can be organized using frequencies and percentages. The paper discusses the importance of identifying training needs, selecting suitable training methods, evaluating outcomes, and providing continuous learning opportunities. It concludes that well-planned training and development may support employee performance when it is relevant to job requirements and reinforced by managers.

Keywords: Training and Development, Employee Performance, Human Resource Management, Productivity, Training Effectiveness, Employee Skills

----------**********----------

1. Introduction

Employee performance is an important factor in achieving organizational goals. Employees need appropriate knowledge, practical skills, and professional behaviours to complete their duties effectively. Training is generally designed to improve the skills required for a current job, while development focuses on broader capabilities and future responsibilities. Organizations may use induction, on-the-job training, workshops, coaching, mentoring, job rotation, and online learning to support employees.

Training and development can help employees understand work procedures, reduce avoidable errors, improve service quality, and adapt to changes in technology or processes. However, the value of training depends on the relevance of the content, employee participation, trainer quality, management support, and opportunities to apply new skills at work. Training should therefore be planned around identified needs and evaluated after implementation.

1.1 Research Gap

Organizations invest time and resources in employee training, but the perceived relationship between training practices and day-to-day performance may differ across workplaces and employee groups. A focused study can help understand which outcomes employees associate with training, which training methods they find useful, and what barriers prevent them from applying newly learned skills. This study is framed to examine these issues through employee responses.

1.2 Objectives of the Study

- To examine employees' perceptions of the impact of training and development on performance.
- To assess the perceived contribution of training to work quality and productivity.
- To understand whether training improves employees' job-related knowledge and confidence.
- To identify training methods employees find useful.
- To identify common challenges in implementing training and development.
- To suggest measures for improving training effectiveness.

2. Literature Review

Training and development are widely discussed within human resource management as ways of building employee capabilities and supporting organizational effectiveness. Noe's work on employee training and development emphasizes the importance of aligning learning with organizational strategy, assessing training needs, and evaluating outcomes. Kirkpatrick's evaluation framework provides a commonly used structure for considering training through participants' reactions, learning, workplace behaviour, and results. Research and professional practice also highlight that training transfer—the application of learning on the job—depends on employee motivation, manager support, and a work environment that allows employees to practise new skills.

The literature suggests that training is most useful when objectives are clear, content is relevant, methods suit the learners, and evaluation goes beyond attendance alone. This study uses these ideas as a basis for examining employees' perceptions of training and performance.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

3. Research Methodology

Research Design: Quantitative and descriptive.
Sample Size: 125 responses used only as an illustrative example for formatting; replace with actual sample size and data.
Data Source: Primary data to be collected through a structured questionnaire from employees.
Sampling Method: Convenience sampling may be used where respondents are selected based on availability; state the actual method used in the final study.
Measurement: Respondent profile questions and five-point Likert-scale statements (Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree), along with questions about benefits and challenges.
Analysis: Frequency and percentage analysis. The descriptive design can summarize perceptions but, by itself, does not prove that training causes changes in performance.
Important note: The numerical results below are illustrative placeholders, not collected or verified research findings.

4. Results and Discussion

The following tables demonstrate how the findings may be presented. Replace all illustrative numbers with results from your own questionnaire before submission.

4.1 Illustrative Respondent Profile

Age group: Below 25 years — 48 (38.4%); 25–35 years — 52 (41.6%); 36–45 years — 18 (14.4%); Above 45 years — 7 (5.6%).
Work experience: Less than 1 year — 30 (24.0%); 1–5 years — 55 (44.0%); 6–10 years — 25 (20.0%); More than 10 years — 15 (12.0%).

4.2 Illustrative Responses on Training and Performance

Statement: "Training improves my job-related knowledge and skills." — Agree/Strongly Agree: 101 (80.8%).
Statement: "Training helps me complete tasks more efficiently." — Agree/Strongly Agree: 96 (76.8%).
Statement: "Training improves the quality of my work." — Agree/Strongly Agree: 94 (75.2%).
Statement: "Training increases my confidence in handling job responsibilities." — Agree/Strongly Agree: 99 (79.2%).
Statement: "I get opportunities to apply what I learn during training." — Agree/Strongly Agree: 78 (62.4%).
These example percentages are for layout demonstration only and must not be represented as real survey results.

4.3 Illustrative Major Benefit

Improved job knowledge and skills — 36 (28.8%); Increased productivity — 31 (24.8%); Better work quality — 27 (21.6%); Increased confidence — 19 (15.2%); Better teamwork and communication — 12 (9.6%).

4.4 Illustrative Challenges

Limited time for training — 34 (27.2%); Training content not fully relevant — 29 (23.2%); Limited follow-up or coaching — 25 (20.0%); Lack of suitable resources — 20 (16.0%); Low employee participation — 17 (13.6%).

4.5 Major Findings (Illustrative)

• In the illustrative responses, most respondents report that training supports job-related knowledge and skills.
• Respondents appear to associate training with improved efficiency, work quality, and confidence.
• The example data indicate that opportunities to apply learning at work may be weaker than the perceived learning benefit.
• Limited time and relevance of training content are presented as possible implementation challenges.
• These findings are examples only; final findings must be based on the actual responses collected.

4.6 Discussion

The illustrative results show how employee views can be summarized and compared across performance-related statements. In an actual study, a high level of agreement with statements about knowledge, efficiency, and work quality would suggest that respondents perceive training as useful. However, such responses would not alone establish a causal relationship. A stronger evaluation could compare performance indicators before and after training, use supervisor assessments, or examine error rates, productivity, customer feedback, and the transfer of learning over time. The discussion should be updated to match the real data and context of the selected organization.

4.7 Suggestions and Recommendations

• Conduct a training-needs assessment before designing programmes.
• Set clear learning objectives linked to job responsibilities.
• Use a mix of practical demonstrations, on-the-job training, coaching, mentoring, and digital learning where appropriate.
• Keep training content relevant, accessible, and updated.
• Give employees time and resources to attend training.
• Encourage supervisors to coach employees and support the application of new skills.
• Evaluate training through feedback, knowledge checks, workplace behaviour, and appropriate performance indicators.
• Review training programmes regularly and make improvements based on evidence.

4.8 Limitations of the Study

• The final study's findings will depend on the size and composition of the sample.
• Self-reported responses may reflect personal perceptions rather than objective performance changes.
• A descriptive survey can identify patterns but cannot establish causation on its own.
• Findings from one organization or location may not apply to all workplaces.
• The illustrative tables in this draft are not real data and must be replaced before submission.

5. Conclusion

Training and development are important HR practices that can help employees build skills, improve confidence, and prepare for changing job requirements. Their contribution to performance is likely to be stronger when training is relevant, practical, supported by managers, and followed by opportunities to apply learning. Organizations should assess training needs, choose suitable methods, and evaluate outcomes using employee feedback and job-related measures. This draft provides a research-paper structure for studying the topic; its numerical example results must be replaced with actual questionnaire data before it is submitted as an empirical research paper.

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved

6. Acknowledgements
The author expresses sincere gratitude to the faculty of Wainganga College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur, Maharashtra, for academic guidance, and to all employees who may participate in the study and share their responses.

References
Kirkpatrick, D. L., & Kirkpatrick, J. D. (2006). Evaluating Training Programs: The Four Levels (3rd ed.). Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
Noe, R. A. (2020). Employee Training and Development (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
Aguinis, H., & Kraiger, K. (2009). Benefits of training and development for individuals and teams, organizations, and society. Annual Review of Psychology, 60, 451–474. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163505
Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The science of training and development in organizations: What matters in practice. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13(2), 74–101. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612436661

 ©IJSRED: All Rights are Reserved